

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

EDMUND OKOLIE,

Plaintiff,

V.

CITY OF SEATTLE, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. C17-1531RSM

MINUTE ORDER

The following MINUTE ORDER is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable
J. S. Martinez, Chief United States District Judge:

On August 18, 2018, Plaintiff filed a Memo to the Defendants. Dkt. #27. The Memo included the following:

- ***NB***I MUBT FILE A MOTION WITH THE COURT IN A COUPLE DAYS/WKS TOCOMPEL THE DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND INDIVIDUALLY TO MY MY MOTION OF 6/29/18 as demanded for the discovery as a probable preamble to depositions in the discovery process which should not be one sided.

I must also request the court to intervene in the consistent use of scandalous-falsehoods ,false documentation . evading of discovery process, apparent fakes, etc..[sic]

Dkt. #27 at 2. The filing was not noted for the Court's consideration as a motion and its intended purpose was unclear.

1 On September 4, 2018, Defendant City of Seattle responded to Plaintiff's Memo (Dkt.
2 #28) and indicated that “[w]hile difficult to ascertain its intent, Plaintiff's memo, which includes
3 a new allegation, appears to operate as an attempt by Plaintiff to amend his Complaint.” Dkt.
4 #28 at 1. Defendant requests that to the extent the Memo is a motion, it should be denied. *Id.*

5 The Court does not interpret Plaintiff's Memo as a motion. Plaintiff's filing appears
6 related to discovery and does not clearly request any relief from the Court. The Memo appears
7 to indicate that a motion to compel is forthcoming. The Court is unclear as to the intent or utility
8 of the filing, does not treat it as a motion, and will disregard it.
9

10 The Court directs the Clerk to mail a copy of this Minute Order to Plaintiff at 435 Martin
11 Luther King Jr. Way South, Seattle, WA 98144.

12 DATED this 6th day of September 2018.
13

14 WILLIAM McCOOL, Clerk
15

16 By: /s/ Paula McNabb
17 Deputy Clerk
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27