Fatty v.	Duke	et al	
----------	------	-------	--

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
8	BANGALLY FATTY,		
9	Petitioner,	CASE NO. C17-1535-MJP-BAT	
10	v.	ORDER DIRECTING SUDDI EMENTAL PRIFEINC AND	
11	ELAINE DUKE, et al.,	SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING AND GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD	
12	Respondents.		
13	Having considered the pending motions and the balance of the record, the Court		
14	ORDERS:		
15	(1) The Government's motion to d	ismiss argues the Court does not have jurisdiction	
16	to consider Mr. Fatty's claim that he has a due process right to adjudication of his pending T visa		
17	application, and that even if the Court does ha	ve jurisdiction, Mr. Fatty has not been denied a	
18	meaningful opportunity to be heard. The parties' briefing, however, does not address the		
19	threshold requirement of a due process claim:	a protected liberty or property interest. See Ching	
20	v. Mayorkas, 725 F.3d 1149, 1155 (9th Cir. 20	013). By March 9, 2018, the Government shall file	
21	a supplemental brief that addresses whether M	Ir. Fatty has asserted a protected liberty or property	
22	interest. By March 16, 2018, Mr. Fatty shall	file a supplemental response. The Government	
23	may file a supplemental reply by March 23, 2	2018.	
	ORDER DIRECTING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING AND GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD - 1		

1	(2) Mr. Fatty's motion to supplement the administrative record, which is unopposed,				
2	is <b>GRANTED</b> . Dkt. 20.				
3	(3) The Clerk is directed to <b>RE-NOTE</b> the Government's motion to dismiss, Dkt. 14,				
4	for March 23, 2018.				
5	DATED this 23 <sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2018.				
6	RO				
7	BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA				
8	United States Magistrate Judge				
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
	ORDER DIRECTING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING AND GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD - 2				