Ferguson v. Waid

Doc. 131

and issued a receipt, which was recorded on the docket. However, once the undersigned became aware of this, the Court was able to stop the actual processing and deposit of these funds. The check remains in the Clerk's Office.

The Court did not expect to be receiving a cashier's check, and was unaware of Ms. Ferguson's intentions until the following day, after Ms. Ferguson filed her Notice of Plaintiff's Deposit of Rule 11 Funds in Registry. *See* Dkt. #130 (filed at 10:51 P.M.). This Notice states "[w]e request that disbursement of the funds be made by the Clerk at the direction of the Court," but also that "[w]e respectfully request that the Court consider deferring disbursement of the deposited funds until a consolidated appeal can be filed, after the trial approximately two months hence." *Id*.

Ms. Ferguson has again failed to follow the Court's prior Orders in a last ditch effort to evade responsibility and further prejudice Mr. Waid. The Court ordered payment be made to Mr. Waid, not to the Court. For the Court to disburse these funds now would cause a delay of approximately one month. While the Court would normally be amenable to receiving and disbursing funds, such a delay is unreasonable under the facts of this case. Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS Ms. Ferguson to retrieve her cashier's check from the Clerk's Office in Seattle **no later than tomorrow** and deliver these funds to Mr. Waid immediately. If Ms. Ferguson is able to do this, the Court will not fine her \$100 a day for this additional delay.

DATED this 26th day of September 2018.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE