Doc. 54

version. Dkt. #48. An hour later that same day, Ms. Ferguson filed a "Third Declaration of Sandra L. Ferguson," that states "[a]ttached hereto are true copies of the following documents which comprise the Appendix Parts 1 through 11..." however there are no attached documents. Dkt. #49. The following day, March 23, 2018, Ms. Ferguson filed an 85-page "Fourth Declaration of Sandra L. Ferguson" that appears to withdraw Dkts. #48 and #48-1 and replace exhibits U through Y. Dkt #50. Just minutes later, Ms. Ferguson filed another "Fourth Declaration of Sandra L. Ferguson" that begins:

I filed Docket 50 (main document) with attachments 51-1, 51-2, 51-3, 51-4, and 51-5 attached thereto. There was a problem with Docket 50, only. Therefore, this document is submitted as a replacement for Dkt. 50. I hereby withdraw the previously-filed docket #50, but I do not withdraw the attachments 1-5 to docket 50, which belong with this replacement documents declaration.

Dkt. #51.

The above filings have created significant confusion for the Court and likely any person attempting to decipher what is and is not an exhibit in support of Ms. Ferguson's Motion. The Court also notes several procedural errors with these filings. Ms. Ferguson has not followed the Court's praecipe procedure for filing corrections or additions to the record, *see* LCR 7(m). Ms. Ferguson has submitted several of the above declarations without signing them under penalty of perjury as required by this Court. *See* Dkts. #48, #49, and #51. Perhaps most critically, Ms. Ferguson's underlying Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is not signed by Ms. Ferguson as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11(a). *See* Dkt. #42 at 25.

After the drafting of this Minute Order but before it was posted, Ms. Ferguson filed yet another correction, styled as a praecipe, asking the Clerk of the Court to replace Dkt. #42-1 with an attached amended declaration. Dkt. #52. This filing makes no mention of the above additional filings, and fails to explain why Ms. Ferguson is correcting her filings daily.

MINUTE ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 2

24

25

26

27

28

Given all of the above, the Court hereby STRIKES all of the above filings (Dkts. #42, #43, #48, #49, #50, #51, and #52). Defendant need not respond to Ms. Ferguson's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment by the previous noting date. If Ms. Ferguson chooses to proceed with this Motion, she must refile according to the following procedures:

- 1) Ms. Ferguson's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment must be signed and noted for consideration based on the new filing date;
- 2) Ms. Ferguson must file a new declaration attaching the corrected versions of all of her supporting exhibits A through Z, a separate declaration attaching the corrected versions of her numbered exhibits, and a separate declaration attaching the corrected version of her appendix exhibits;
- 3) Every declaration must be made under penalty of perjury;
- 4) Ms. Ferguson is not permitted to file any further praecipes related to this Motion without leave of the Court, see LCR 7(m) ([p]arties are expected to file accurate, complete documents, and the failure to do so may result in the court's refusal to consider later filed corrections or additions to the record);
- 5) Ms. Ferguson need not refile the declaration of Kany M. Levine, Dkt. #44;
- 6) Ms. Ferguson must provide a courtesy copy to the Court of all new filings a day after filing as required by LCR 10(e)(9).

Failure to follow the above rules will result in the Court striking this Motion again. DATED this 26th day of March, 2018.

WILLIAM McCOOL, Clerk

/s/ Paula McNabb By: Deputy Clerk

MINUTE ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 3