1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
6	AT SEATTLE	
7	·	
8	KURT SINGLETON,))
9	Plaintiff,	Case No. C17-1712RSL
10	V.	ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS TO SEAL
11	INTELLISIST, INC., d/b/a Spoken Communications,	
12	Defendants.	
13)
14	This matter comes before the Court on the parties' motions to seal documents submitted	
15	in connection with defendant's request for a protective order and return of corporate property.	
16	Dkt. # 49, # 56, and # 67. Defendant's confidential business information regarding research and	
17	development of new products justifies limitations on the public's right to inspect and copy	
18	documents in the Court's file. The motions are therefore GRANTED and Dkt. # 53, # 57, # 58, 1	
19	and # 69 shall remain under seal. The documents filed at Dkt. # 50 and # 51 are the redacted	
20	versions of the motion and supporting declaration, however: the Clerk of Court is directed to	
21	unseal those two documents.	
22	Dated this 19th day of September, 2018.	
23	MWS Casnik	
24	Robert S. Lasnik	
25	United States District Judge	
26		
	¹ Counsel is advised that, in future, a separate motion to seal will be required to justify sealing a reply memorandum/declaration.	
	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SEAL	

Singleton v. Intellisist, Inc

Doc. 71