1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	BRK, INC.,	CASE NO. C17-1715JLR
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	v.	
12	ISEE AUTOMATION, INC.,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 requires a plaintiff to serve the defendant with a	
16	summons and a copy of the plaintiff's complaint and sets forth the specific requirements	
17	for doing so. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Rule 4(m), which provides the timeframe in which	
18	service must be effectuated, states in relevant part:	
19	If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss	
20	the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the	
21		
22		

Id. Here, Plaintiff has failed to serve Defendant with a summons and a copy of Plaintiff's
complaint within the timeframe provided in Rule 4(m).

3	Accordingly, the court ORDERS Plaintiff to SHOW CAUSE within ten (10) days
4	of the date of this order why this action should not be dismissed for failure to comply
5	with Rule 4(m). If Plaintiff does not demonstrate good cause for the failure, the court
6	will dismiss the action without prejudice.
7	Dated this <u>26</u> day of February, 2018.
8	(In C. Shit
9	JAMES L ROBART United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	