Honorable Marsha J. Pechman

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

LINNE ROSE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs.

v.

BEHR PROCESS CORP., BEHR PAINT CORP., MASCO CORP., THE HOME DEPOT, INC., and HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.,,

Defendants.

NO. 2:17-cv-01754-MJP

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER TO STAY CASE

NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR

May 29, 2018

I. STIPULATION

Subject to approval of this Court, Plaintiff Linne Rose and Defendants Behr Paint Corp., Behr Process Corporation, Masco Corporation (collectively, "Behr"), The Home Depot, Inc. and Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. (collectively, "Home Depot"), by and through their attorneys, hereby stipulate and request an order staying this case, including all deadlines for discovery and other matters, pending a decision on the motion for preliminary and final approval of a class action settlement involving three related actions before other district courts (*Anderson v. Behr Process Corp.*, Case No. 1:17-cv-08735 (N.D. Ill.); *Bishop v. Behr Process Corp.*, Case No. 1:17-cv-04464 (N.D. Ill.); and *In re Behr*, Case No. 8:17-cv-01016 (C.D. Cal.)) ("Settled Cases").

STIPULATed motion and order to stay case -1 3:17-CV-06060

II. BACKGROUND

On May 1, 2018, plaintiffs in *Bishop* filed an amended complaint that incorporated the named plaintiffs in *In re Behr* and *Anderson*. *See* Am. Compl. (*Bishop* Dkt. #60) at ¶¶ 7-39. Shortly thereafter, on May 3, 2018, plaintiffs in *Bishop* filed a motion for preliminary approval of a class action settlement. *See* Mot. for Preliminary Approval (<u>Bishop</u> Dkt. #61) at *passim*.

If the settlement agreement in *Bishop* is granted preliminarily approval, it would resolve all claims against all Defendants in this action. *See* Behr Mem. in Opp. to Mot. for Transfer and Consolidation, *In re: Behr DeckOver Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*, MDL No. 2821 (Dkt. #30) at 1-2; Order Denying Transfer (MDL Dkt. #53) at 1.

Defendants expect that the court in *Bishop* will consider the motion for preliminary approval on June 27, 2018. *See* Order (*Bishop* Dkt. #78).

III. AUTHORITY

The Court "may, with propriety, find it is efficient for its own docket and the fairest course for the parties to enter a stay of an action before it, pending resolution of independent proceedings which bear upon the case." *Levya v. Certified Grocers of California*, 593 F.2d 857, 863 (9th Cir. 1979). In considering whether a stay is appropriate, a district court should consider (1) the possible damage that may result from granting a stay, (2) the hardship or inequity a party may suffer in being required to go forward, and (3) the orderly course of justice measured in terms of the simplifying or complicating of issues, proof, and questions of law expected to result from a stay. *See id.* at 864; *CMAX, Inc. v. Hall*, 300 F.2d 265, 268 (9th Cir. 1962).

Courts in the Ninth Circuit and across the country routinely stay actions pending the review and approval of class settlements in other district courts that would resolve all claims

brought in those actions. See Annunziato v. eMachines Inc., 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 97020, *15-16 (C.D. Cal. 2006); see also Albert v. Blue Diamond Growers, 232 F. Supp. 3d 509 (S.D.N.Y. 2017); Ali v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 26670, *7-9 (W.D.Okla. 2014); Lindley v. Life Investors Ins. Co. of America, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94623, *11 (N.D.Okla. 2009); In re RC2 Corp. Toy Lead Paint Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 1893, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14121, *13-14 (N.D.Ill. 2008). If this case is stayed pending consideration of the Bishop Settlement, no Party will suffer any hardship. Plaintiff and all members of the proposed class in this case have the right to participate in the settlement or opt out of it to pursue his or her own litigation. And, any stay would be limited for any Plaintiff or any member of the proposed class who opts out of the Bishop Settlement. See Amchem Prods. v. Windsor, 521 U.S. 591, 597 (1997).

In contrast, if this litigation continues, all Parties would face the prospect of incurring substantial and unnecessary litigation costs. See Annunziato, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 97020, *15-16 ("[I]f the Ohio state court approves the preliminary settlement, [litigants] would be saved from hundreds of hours spent on discovery and briefing in proceeding with this case.... this Court finds efficiency is best achieved by granting a ... stay."). The Parties also acknowledge that the settlement will help resolve this litigation. Thus, the Parties agree that a stay of this action is appropriate, pending a decision on the motion for preliminary approval in Bishop, and, a decision on any forthcoming motion for final approval.

The Parties agree to keep this Court informed regarding the status of the settlement of the Bishop case by having Behr file a Status Report by July 13, 2018, and every 60 days thereafter, reporting on the progress of preliminary approval, notice, and final approval of the settlement.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the above, the Parties hereby stipulate, agree and move, subject to the Court's approval, that:

- This case should be stayed pending a decision on the motion for preliminary approval in *Bishop*, *et al.*, *v. Behr Process Corporation*, *et al.*, Case No.
 1:17- cv- 04464 (N.D. Ill.), and, if preliminary approval is granted, through a decision on any forthcoming motion for final approval;
- 2. Behr shall file a Status Report by July 13, 2018, and every 60 days thereafter, reporting on the progress of preliminary approval, notice, and final approval.

Stipulated and presented this 29th day of May, 2018.

TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP MILLS MEYERS SWARTLING P.S. PLLC

By: /s/ Beth E. Terrell

Beth E. Terrell, WSBA No. 26759

Eric Riley Nusser, WSBA No. 51513

WHITFIELD BRYSON & MASON, LLP

Daniel K. Bryson Pro Hac Vice

Patrick M. Wallace Pro Hac Vice

Scott C. Harris Pro Hac Vice

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Seattle, WA 98104 Class

Phone: (206) 382 1

By s/ Caryn Geraghty Jorgensen

Caryn Geraghty Jorgensen WSBA No. 27514 Email: cjogensen@millsmeyers.com John Fetters WSBA No. 40800 Email: jfetter@millsmeyers.com Mills Meyers Swartling 1000 2nd Avenue, 30 FL

Phone: (206) 382-1000 Fax: (206) 386-7343

Attorneys for Defendants Home Depot, Inc. and Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.

STIPULATed motion and order to stay case -4 3:17-CV-06060

WHITFIELD BYSON & MASON, LLP 1 MORRIS MANNING & MARTIN By s/ Daniel K. Bryson 2 By s/ Jeffrey Douglass Daniel K. Bryson, Pro Hac Vice Jeffrey Douglass, Pro Hac Vice E-mail: dan@wbmllp.com 3 Robert Alpert, Pro Hac Vice Patrick M. Wallace, Pro Hac Vice 1600 Atlanta Financial Center Scott C. Harris, Pro Hac Vice 4 900 W. Morgan Street 3343 Peachtree Road, NE 5 Raleigh, NC 27603 Atlanta, GA 30326, USA Phone: 404.233.7000 Main Telephone: 919-600-5000 800-849-0970 Direct Telephone: 919-600-5002 6 Fax: 404.365.9532 Fax: 919-600-5035 Attorneys for Defendants Home Depot, Inc. 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed and Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. Class 8 9 CARNEY BADLEY SPELLMAN, P.S. 10 By s/Emilia L. Sweeney Emilia L. Sweeney, WSBA No. 23371 11 Email: sweeney@carneylaw.com 12 Jason M. Kettrick, WSBA No. 35459 Email: kettrick@carneylaw.com 13 Elliot C. Copenhaver, WSBA No. 46909 Email: copenhaver@carneylaw.com 14 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3600 Seattle, WA 98104-7010 15 Telephone: (206) 622-8020 16 Facsimile:(206)467-8215 Attorneys for Defendants Behr Processing 17 Corporation, Behr Paint Corp and Masco Corporation 18 19 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 20 By s/ Kathleen P. Lally Kathleen P. Lally 21 One of the Attorneys for Defendant Behr Process Corporation 22 Mark S. Mester 23 ark.mester@lw.com Kathleen P. Lally 24 kathleen.lally@lw.com 330 North Wabash Avenue, Suite 2800 25 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Telephone: (312) 876-7700 26

V. ORDER

It is so ordered.

Dated this 30th day of May, 2018.

Marshy Relens

The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman United States Senior District Court Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on this 29th day of May, 2018, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which caused all CM/ECF participants to be served by electronic means. DATED this 29th day of May, 2018. CARNEY BADLEY SPELLMAN, P.S. By: s/Andrea Williams Andrea Williams, Legal Assistant STIPULATed motion and order to stay case -73:17-CV-06060