SmarTek21,	LLC v. VisiKard, Inc	ı
1		
2		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
4	ATSEATTLE	
4	SMARTEK21, LLC,	
5	SWARTER21, EEC,	
	Plaintiff,	
6		C17-1798 TSZ
7	V.	MINUTE ORDER
	VISIKARD, INC,	WIING TE ORDER
8	Defendent	
9	Defendant.	
	The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable	
10	Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge:	
11		
11	(1) Plaintiff SmarTek21, LLC alleges diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332. <i>See</i> docket no. 1 at ¶¶ 4–5. Plaintiff has not, however, set forth facts or allegations	
12	sufficient to satisfy the Court of its subject-matter jurisdiction over this dispute. Plaintiff	
	is DIRECTED, within fourteen (14) days of this Minute Order, to file a disclosure under	
13	penalty of perjury (1) identifying all of its members and owners; and (2) indicating the	
14	state of which each of its members and owners was a citizen at the time the complaint was filed. <i>See Carden v. Arkoma Assocs.</i> , 494 U.S. 185, 195–96 (1990); <i>Johnson v.</i>	
	Columbia Prop. Anchorage, LP, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006) (for purposes of	
15	diversity jurisdiction, "an LLC is a citizen of every state of which its owners/members	
16	are citizens.").	
	(2) The Court DEFERS ruling on the Third Party Defendants' Rule 11 Motion,	
17	docket no. 21, pending resolution of its subject-matter jurisdiction inquiry. The Motion is	
18	RENOTED to Friday, June 22, 2018.	
10	(3) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of	
19	record.	
20	Dated this 6th day of June, 2018.	
20	·	
21		William M. McCool
22		Clerk
22	<u>s</u>	/Karen Dews
23	I	Deputy Clerk
	MANUTE ODDED 1	
	MINUTE ORDER - 1	

Doc. 27