Reed v.	Hay	r	ies

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE				
8	ROOSEVELT REED,				
9	Petitioner,	Case No. C17-1859-RAJ-JPD			
10	v.	ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION			
11	RON HAYNES,	FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME			
12	Respondent.	TO FILE A RESPONSE			
13					
14	This is a federal habeas action filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. This matter comes				
15	before the Court at the present time on petitioner's second motion for appointment of counsel				
16	and on his motion for an extension of time to file a response to respondent's answer to				
17	petitioner's federal habeas petition. The Court, having reviewed petitioner's motions, and the				
18	balance of the record, hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:				
19	(1) Petitioner's second motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. 19) is DENIED. As				
20	petitioner was previously advised, there is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought				
21	under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 unless an evidentiary hearing is required. See Terravona v. Kincheloe,				
22	852 F.2d 424, 429 (9th Cir. 1988); Brown v. Vasquez, 952 F.2d 1164, 1168 (9th Cir. 1992); and,				
23	ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME - 1				

Rule 8(c) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.
However, the Court may exercise its discretion to appoint counsel for a financially eligible
individual where the "interests of justice so require." 18 U.S.C. § 3006A.

A review of the materials before the Court at this time, including petitioner's petition and 4 respondent's answer thereto, suggests that an evidentiary hearing will not be necessary to resolve 5 petitioner's claims. In addition, petitioner fails once again to demonstrate that the interests of 6 justice are best served by appointment of counsel. Petitioner asserts that he requires an attorney 7 and an investigator to interview a witness at Harborview Medical Center whom his trial attorney 8 should have called as a witness on petitioner's behalf at trial. Petitioner maintains that the 9 information gleaned from such an interview would help him prove his ineffective assistance of 10 counsel claim(s). 11

The Court first notes that the two ineffective assistance of counsel claims presented in 12 petitioner's federal habeas petition relate to the manner in which petitioner's trial counsel 13 handled jury instruction issues. It is unclear how a witness interview would assist petitioner in 14 pursuing either of those claims. Moreover, this Court's review of petitioner's federal habeas 15 petition "is limited to the record that was before the state court that adjudicated the claim on the 16 17 merits." Cullen v. Pinholster, 563 U.S. 170, 181-82 (2011). Thus, even if petitioner were able to obtain additional evidentiary support for his federal habeas claims at this point, such evidence 18 19 would be outside the scope of this Court's review. In sum, petitioner has not persuaded this Court that appointment of counsel is warranted at this time. Counsel will be appointed, as 20 required, should the Court later determine that an evidentiary hearing is necessary. 21

22 23

ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME - 2

1	(2) Petitioner's unopposed motion for a 60-day extension of time to file a response to				
2	respondent's answer (Dkt. 18) is GRANTED. Petitioner's response was originally due not later				
3	than March 12, 2018. Petitioner is granted an extension of time until <i>May 14, 2018</i> to file any				
4	response to respondent's answer. Respondent's answer (Dkt. 15) is RE-NOTED on the Court's				
5	calendar for consideration on <i>May 18, 2018</i> .				
6	(3) The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to petitioner, to counsel for respondent,				
7	and to the Honorable Richard A. Jones.				
8	DATED this 22nd day of March, 2018.				
9	James P. Donoaue				
10	JAMES P. DONOHUE United States Magistrate Judge				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23	ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME - 3				