Danger et al v. Schedler

Doc. 20

12

21

22

23

evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331.

Plaintiff asserts in his motion that he needs counsel because of physical disabilities which impair his vision and hearing, and because he is concerned for his safety. Plaintiff fails to make clear how appointment of counsel would alleviate his concern for his safety. With respect to the asserted physical disabilities which plaintiff claims make it "nearly impossible" to read and write, the Court notes that plaintiff has thus far demonstrated adequate ability to submit written materials to the Court. Moreover, defendants have indicated a willingness to modify the form of their written materials to assist plaintiff in the processing of those materials. The Court is not persuaded that plaintiff's purported physical disabilities will render him unable to litigate this matter without the assistance of counsel.

In addition, plaintiff has neither demonstrated a likelihood of success on the merits nor shown that, in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved, he is unable to articulate his claims pro se. Thus, plaintiff has not demonstrated that this case involves exceptional circumstances which warrant appointment of counsel at the present time.

(2) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for defendants, and to the Honorable Thomas S. Zilly.

DATED this 5th day of April, 2018.

United States Magistrate Judge