Brennan v. Stites et al

Doc. 213

1 The Court DENIES plaintiff's motion. Dkt. 211. Plaintiff's proposed brief addresses all 2 of plaintiff's claims against the 26 defendants named in this action and is not limited to the 3 discrete arguments raised by the three defendants who have moved for summary judgment. 4 Instead of addressing issues that are not relevant to the pending motions for summary judgment, 5 any response briefs should be tailored to the specific arguments defendants raise in their motions. In addition, plaintiff's motion does not comply with the requirements of Local Rule LCR 7(f).¹ 6 7 To allow plaintiff additional time to file appropriate response briefs, the Clerk shall RE-NOTE the motions for summary judgment, Dkts. 192, 193, for **April 5, 2019**. Plaintiff shall file 8 9 any response briefs by **April 1, 2019**, and defendants may file reply briefs by the noting date. 10 The Clerk is directed to send copies of this order to the parties and to the Honorable John C. Coughenour. 11 12 Dated this 14th day of March, 2019. 13 14 MICHELLE L. PETERSON United States Magistrate Judge 15 16 17 ¹ Local Rule LCR 7(f) states: Motions seeking approval to file an over-length motion or brief are disfavored but may be filed 18 subject to the following: 19 (1) The motion shall be filed at least three judicial days before the underlying motion or brief is due, and shall be noted for consideration for the day on which it is filed, pursuant to CR 7(d)(1). 20 (2) The motion shall be no more than two pages in length and shall request a specific number of 21 additional pages. (3) No opposition to the motion shall be filed unless requested by the court. 22 (4) If the court grants leave to file an over-length motion, the brief in opposition will automatically 23 be allowed an equal number of additional pages. In all cases, the reply brief shall not exceed one-

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO FILE OVER-LENGTH RESPONSE BRIEF - 2

half the total length of the brief filed in opposition.