

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

LAWRENCE HART, CLYDE STEPHEN  
LEWIS, JAMES PRESTI, and MICHAEL  
RALLS, individual and on behalf of all others  
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CF ARCIS VII LLC d/b/a THE CLUB AT  
SNOQUALMIE RIDGE, d/b/a TPC AT  
SNOQUALMIE RIDGE, and d/b/a  
SNOQUALMIE RIDGE GOLF CLUB, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C17-01932-RSM

**STIPULATION AND ORDER  
EXTENDING MOTION  
DEADLINES**

Plaintiffs and Defendants CF Arcis VII LLC, CF Arcis IV Holdings, LLC, Arcis Equity Partners, LLC, and Blake Walker (the “Arcis Defendants”), by and through their counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

1. On February 5, 2018, the Court, upon the parties’ stipulation, entered an order extending various deadlines on the Arcis Defendants’ then-pending Motion to Dismiss, the Rule 26(f) conference, Initial Disclosures, and the Joint Status Report, as well as a deadline for Plaintiffs to file any amended complaint. Dkt. 15.

2. On March 27, 2018, the Court, upon the parties’ stipulation and representation that they were focused on informal resolution discussions, entered a second order extending deadlines regarding the filing of a Second Amended Complaint, the Rule 26(f) conference, Initial Disclosures, and the Joint Status Report, as well as a deadline for the Arcis Defendants’

1 Response to the Second Amended Complaint. Dkt. 17. The informal resolution discussions  
2 did not result in settlement.

3 3. Since then, Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint [Dkt. 18], and the  
4 parties held their Rule 26(f) conference, exchanged Initial Disclosures, and filed a Joint Status  
5 Report [Dkt. 19]. Additionally, the Arcis Defendants filed a renewed Motion to Dismiss [Dkt.  
6 20].

7 4. Since then, the parties have renewed informal resolution discussions. These  
8 discussions are ongoing, and the parties require additional time to consider whether they will be  
9 able to resolve this dispute. As a result, the parties stipulate and agree to ask the Court to strike  
10 the noting date for the pending Motion to Dismiss, and to extend remaining deadlines as  
11 follows:

EVENT	EXISTING DEADLINE	NEW DEADLINE
Plaintiffs' Response to Motion to Dismiss	Monday, June 4, 2018	Friday, June 29, 2018
Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss	Friday, June 8, 2018	Thursday, July 12, 2018
Noting Date of Motion to Dismiss	Friday, June 8, 2018	Friday, July 13, 2018

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 DATED this 31st day of May, 2018.

3 Davis Wright Tremaine LLP  
4 Attorneys for the Arcis Defendants

5 By s/ Rebecca J. Francis

6 Stephen M. Rummage, WSBA #11168  
7 Rebecca J. Francis, WSBA #41196  
8 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200  
9 Seattle, Washington 98101-3045  
10 Phone: (206) 622-3150; Fax: (206) 757-7700  
11 E-mail: steverummage@dwt.com  
12 E-mail: rebeccafrancis@dwt.com

13 Terrell Marshall Law Group PLLC  
14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

15 By s/ Adrienne D. McEntee

16 Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759  
17 Adrienne D. McEntee, WSBA #34061  
18 936 North 34<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300  
19 Seattle, Washington 98103  
20 Telephone: (206) 816-6603  
21 Fax: (206) 319-5450  
22 E-mail: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com  
23 E-mail: amcentee@terrellmarshall.com

24 **ORDER**

25 Based on the foregoing Stipulation, the Court ORDERS that the case deadlines shall be  
26 adjusted as stated above.

27 DATED this 1st day of June, 2018.



28 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
29 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE