

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 KEVIN CAMPBELL,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

13 Respondent.

CASE NO. C18-0274-JCC

ORDER

14
15 This matter comes before the Court *sua sponte*. In the Court's recent order dismissing
16 Petitioner's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 habeas petition (Dkt. No. 27), the Court inadvertently omitted its
17 order on Petitioner's certificate of appealability, which the Court now addresses.

18 To obtain a certificate of appealability, a petitioner must make a "substantial showing of
19 the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). "A petitioner satisfies this standard
20 by demonstrating that jurists of reason could disagree with the district court's resolution of his
21 constitutional claims or that jurists could conclude the issues presented are adequate to deserve
22 encouragement to proceed further." *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003).

23 Petitioner sought to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255,
24 arguing that: he did not knowingly and intelligently enter into his guilty plea; he received
25 inadequate assistance of trial and appellate counsel; and the conditions of supervised release
26 were unconstitutionally broad and vague. (*See* Dkt. No. 14 at 4–8.) The Court denied Petitioner's

ORDER
C18-0274-JCC
PAGE - 1

1 petition because none of Petitioner's claims were meritorious. (See Dkt. No. 27.) Petitioner has
2 not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right because the Court finds that
3 no reasonable jurist could disagree with its conclusion. Thus, the Court DENIES a certificate of
4 appealability in this case.

5 DATED this 30th day of July 2019.

6
7
8 

9 John C. Coughenour
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26