1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8 9	UNITED STATES DIS WESTERN DISTRICT O AT SEAT	F WASHINGTON
10	SRC LABS, LLC, et al.,	CASE NO. C18-0317JLR
11	Plaintiffs,	MINUTE ORDER
12	V.	
13	AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC., et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15	SRC LABS, LLC, et al.,	CASE NO. C18-0321JLR
16 17	Plaintiffs, v.	
18	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	
19 20	Defendant.	
20	The following minute order is made by th	e direction of the court, the Honorable
22	James L. Robart:	

1	On September 27, 2018, Plaintiffs SRC Labs, LLC and Saint Regis Mohawk
2	Tribe's counsel, Michael Shore, mailed the court a letter. (See 0317 Dkt. # 107; 0321
3	Dkt. # 115.) ¹ The letter explained an oversight in Mr. Shore's <i>pro hac vice</i> application in
4	which he failed to disclose a judicial reprimand from the District of Massachusetts. See
5	Tr. of Bos. Univ. v. Everlight Elecs. Co., Ltd., No. 12-cv-11935-PBS (D. Mass. 2016),
6	Dkt. # 1650. Mr. Shore's letter, however, contained its own oversights. Namely, Mr.
7	Shore's letter was sent in regards to case number 2:17-cv-01227-LO-JFA. That is the
8	case number from the Eastern District of Virginia, which transferred this case to this
9	court on February 26, 2018. (See 0317 Dkt. # 64; see also 0317 Dkt. # 65; 0317 Dkt. #
10	66.) In addition, Mr. Shore's letter attached his <i>pro hac vice</i> application in the Eastern
11	District of Virginia (0317 Dkt. # 17), rather than his <i>pro hac vice</i> application in the
12	Western District of Washington (0317 Dkt. # 86). Both failed to disclose the judicial
13	reprimand. (See id.; 0317 Dkt. # 17.)
14	Moreover, Mr. Shore did not mention that he is before this court in another matter.

Moreover, Mr. Shore did not mention that he is before this court in another matter. *See SRC Labs, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.*, C18-0321JLR. This case was also transferred from the Eastern District of Virginia. (*See* 0321 Dkt. # 50; *see also* 0321 Dkt. # 51; 0321 Dkt. # 77.) Mr. Shore's two *pro hac vice* applications in connection with this matter suffer from the same neglect. (*See* 0321 Dkt. # 14; 0321 Dkt. # 69.)

19

20

//

¹ Because this order pertains to two cases, the court clarifies that citations to "0317 Dkt." relate to the docket in *SRC Labs, LLC v. Amazon Web Services, Inc.*, No. C18-0317JLR (W.D. Wash. 2018), and citations to "0321 Dkt." relate to the docket in *SRC Labs, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.*, No. C18-0321JLR (W.D. Wash. 2018).

1	Lastly, this letter supplements Mr. Shore's pro hac vice applications that were	
2	filed on the respective cases' electronic dockets. (See 0317 Dkt. # 17; 0317 Dkt. # 86;	
3	0321 Dkt. # 14; 0321 Dkt. # 69.) As such, this letter should have been placed on the	
4	electronic dockets. The way this letter came to the court—through an <i>ex parte</i>	
5	communication—is improper. At a minimum, copies of this letter should have been sent	
6	to all parties.	
7	This court demands more accuracy and diligence from counsel. The court will	
8	consider these oversights should it need to address future transgressions or evaluate the	
9	imposition of sanctions.	
10	Filed and entered this 5th day of October, 2018.	
11	WILLIAM M. MCCOOL	
12	Clerk of Court	
13	s/ Ashleigh Drecktrah Deputy Clerk	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		