1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 CASE NO. C18-0377JLR KEITH EMMANUEL, et al., 10 Plaintiffs, ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF 11 RICHARD HOMCHICK'S v. MOTION TO COMPEL 12 KING COUNTY, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 Before the court is Plaintiff Richard Homchick's motion to compel Defendant 16 King County to produce a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) designee to testify 17 about Defendants' third affirmative defense and other matters (MTC (Dkt. # 59).). Mr. 18 Homchick filed his motion without first requesting a conference with the court. (See 19 Dkt.) The motion therefore contravenes the court's August 13, 2019, scheduling order. 20 (See Sched. Order (Dkt. #53) at 2 (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(v)) ("[P]ursuant to 21 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, the Court 'direct[s] that before moving for an order

relating to discovery, the movant must request a conference with the court' by notifying

22

[the courtroom deputy]" (second alteration in original))); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(v) (permitting the court, in its scheduling order, to "direct that before moving for an order relating to discovery, the movant must request a conference with the court"). The court therefore STRIKES Mr. Homchick's motion (Dkt. # 59) without prejudice to renewing the motion in a manner that comports with the court's scheduling order. Dated this 18th day of June, 2020. ~ R. Rlit JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge