

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 LANA STEWART,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 PEOPLE'S BANK,

Defendant.

C18-383 TSZ

MINUTE ORDER

12 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable
13 Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge:

14 (1) The parties' stipulated motion to continue the trial date, docket no. 23, is
15 GRANTED as follows. The trial and pretrial conference dates are CONTINUED, and
16 certain related deadlines are EXTENDED as follows:

JURY TRIAL DATE (8 days)	September 16, 2019
Motions in limine filing deadline	August 15, 2019
Agreed pretrial order due	August 26, 2019
Trial briefs, proposed voir dire questions, and jury instructions due	August 26, 2019
Pretrial conference	September 6, 2019 at 1:30 p.m.

17
18
19
20
21 All other terms and conditions, and all dates and deadlines not inconsistent herewith,
22 contained in the Minute Order Setting Trial Date and Related Dates, docket no. 16, as
23

1 amended by the Minute Order entered October 12, 2018, docket no. 22, shall remain in
full force and effect.¹

2 (2) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of
3 record.

4 Dated this 13th day of November, 2018.

5 William M. McCool
6 Clerk

7 s/Karen Dews
8 Deputy Clerk

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 _____
19 ¹ The trial date was previously continued from April 15, 2019, to June 24, 2019, on the parties' stipulated
20 motion seeking a new trial date of May 20, 2019, which the Court could not accommodate. At that time,
21 the parties did not advise the Court of potential scheduling conflicts in late June 2019, and defendant's
22 attorney still has not filed a notice of availability concerning the dates she intends to be out of the country.
23 In light, however, of defendant's counsel's anticipated unavailability from June 30 until late July 2019,
the parties' current proposal to extend the dispositive motion filing deadlines to the end of May 2019,
resulting in mid-June noting dates, is unworkable because any oral argument could not be scheduled until
after defendant's attorney returned from her travels. Thus, the Court has not altered the deadlines relating
to the completion of discovery and dispositive motion practice.