

The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

Northwest Administrators, Inc.,
Plaintiff,
vs.
The American Bottling Company, a Delaware
corporation, d/b/a Seven-Up Bottling Co. of
San Francisco,
Defendant.

Case No. 2:18-cv-00536-RSL

**SECOND STIPULATED MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME FOR
DEFENDANT THE AMERICAN
BOTTLING COMPANY TO RESPOND
TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

**NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
MAY 21, 2018**

Plaintiff Northwest Administrators, Inc. ("Plaintiff") and The American Bottling Company ("ABC") through counsel and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b), hereby move for an extension of time to respond to the Complaint filed by Plaintiff. In support of this Motion, Defendant states as follows:

1. Plaintiff filed their original Complaint ("Complaint") on or about April 11, 2017, in the United States District Court, Western District of Washington.
2. On or about April 18, 2018, Defendant ABC was served with Plaintiff's Complaint.
3. Defendant's response to the Complaint is due May 24, 2018.
4. On May 7, 2018 this Court granted the parties' first Stipulated Motion for

1 Extension of Time for Defendant The American Bottling Company to Respond to Plaintiff's
2 Complaint.

3 5. Defendant requires a brief extension of time, up to and including June 8, 2018, to
4 fully investigate and respond to the allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint.

5 6. This Motion is being made in good faith and for no improper purpose. Further,
6 the requested enlargement of time will not prejudice any of the parties or unduly delay the
7 proceedings.

8 7. Undersigned counsel has conferred with Plaintiff's counsel regarding this
9 requested extension and he has stipulated on behalf of his client to an extension through June 8,
10 2018.

11 **MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

12 Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 6(b)(1)(A), "[w]hen an act may or must be
13 done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend that time: (A) with or without
14 motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension
15 expires...." The reason for the motion, as stated above, sets forth good cause for the
16 enlargement of time requested, and is being made before the originally prescribed period expires.

17 Therefore ABC respectfully suggests that good cause has been shown for an enlargement
18 of time – through June 8, 2018 – to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint. As Plaintiff's counsel does
19 not oppose the requested enlargement, there will be no undue delay or prejudice suffered by
20 granting the relief requested herein.

21 WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court grant this Motion and
22 extend the deadline to file a response to Plaintiff's complaint until June 8, 2018.

23 //
24 //
25 //
26 //

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Dated: May 21, 2018.

REID, MCCARTHY, BALLEW & LEAHY, OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. LLP

By: /s/ Russell J. Reid
Russell J. Reid, WSBA #2560
100 West Harrison Street
North Tower, Ste. 300
Seattle, WA 98119
T: 206-285-0464
F: 206-285-8925
Attorneys for Plaintiff Northwest Administrators, Inc.

By: /s/ Russell S. Buhite
Russell S. Buhite, WSBA #41257
Russell.buhite@ogletree.com
800 5th Avenue, Suite 4100
Seattle, WA 98104
T: 206-693-7057
F: 206-693-7058
Attorneys for Defendant The American Bottling Company

ORDER

IN CONFORMITY with the foregoing Stipulation, the deadline for Defendant's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint is extended to June 8, 2018.

DATED this 22nd day of May, 2018.



HONORABLE ROBERT S. LASNIK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26