Cook v. Merida Doc. 12

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	ATSEATTEE	
10	C. COOK,	CASE NO. C18-616 MJP
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
12	v.	
13	ADISON DYLAN MERIDA,	
14	Defendant.	
15		•
16	The above-entitled Court has received and reviewed the Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's	
17	Complaint, the accompanying Declaration and exhibits, and relevant portions of the record.	
18	(Dkt. Nos. 11, 11-1.) Plaintiff filed no responsive briefing. The Court rules as follows:	
19	IT IS ORDERED that the matter is DISMISSED with prejudice, as the defects	
20	established by Defendant's motion are not amenable to correction through amendment.	
21		
22		
23   24	<sup>1</sup> The Court notes that, under Local Rule 7(b)(2), "[e]xcept for motions for summary judgment, if a party fails to file papers in opposition to a motion, such failure may be considered by the court as an admission that the motion has merit."	

The Court is satisfied that Defendant has demonstrated conclusively that Plaintiff's complaint fails to establish subject-matter jurisdiction, personal jurisdiction, or proper venue (see Dkt. No. 11 at 1-14) and that it would be futile to permit Plaintiff to amend. On that basis, the complaint will be dismissed with prejudice. The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel. Dated August 7, 2018. Marshy Relina The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman United States Senior District Court Judge