

THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

CHONG and MARILYN YIM, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE CITY OF SEATTLE,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C18-0736-JCC

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the motions for leave to file *amicus curiae* briefs submitted by the National Housing Law Project and Sargent Shriver National Center on Poverty Law (“the NHLP”) (Dkt. No. 26) and the Fred T. Korematsu Center for Law and Equality (“the Korematsu Center”) (Dkt. No. 28). Having thoroughly considered the parties’ briefing and the relevant record, the Court hereby GRANTS the motions for the reasons explained herein.

**I. BACKGROUND**

Plaintiffs, individual landlords and a membership association providing screening services to its landlord members, have filed suit against the City of Seattle. (Dkt. No. 1-1 at 2–3.) They challenge the constitutionality of Seattle Municipal Code § 14.09 (“Seattle’s Fair Chance Housing Ordinance”). (*Id.* at 4–5.) Specifically, they allege that the ordinance, which generally precludes landlords from taking adverse action against tenants and prospective tenants based on criminal history, violates landlords’ free speech and substantive due process rights. (*Id.* at 14–

1 18.)

2 The parties have filed cross motions for summary judgment. (Dkt. Nos. 23, 33.) On  
3 October 17, 2018, the NHLP filed a motion for leave to file an *amicus curiae* brief regarding (1)  
4 the national context of the ordinance at issue; (2) the private market’s role in ensuring that people  
5 who have left the justice system have equitable access to housing; and (3) how criminal records  
6 are created, compiled, packaged, and marketed. (Dkt. No. 26 at 4–5.) On October 18, 2018, the  
7 Korematsu Center also filed a motion for leave to file an *amicus curiae* brief regarding (1) the  
8 history of housing discrimination; (2) the history of the rise of mass incarceration in the United  
9 States; and (3) how these two histories, when combined, illustrate why reliance on criminal  
10 records in making housing decisions may not be merited. (Dkt. No. 28 at 3–4.)

11 **II. DISCUSSION**

12 District courts have “broad discretion” regarding the appointment of *amici*. *Hoptowit v.*  
13 *Ray*, 682 F.2d 1237, 1260 (9th Cir. 1982), abrogated on other grounds by *Sandin v. Conner*, 515  
14 U.S. 472 (1995). District courts frequently welcome *amicus* briefs from non-parties “concerning  
15 legal issues that have potential ramifications beyond the parties directly involved or if the *amicus*  
16 has unique information or perspective that can help the court beyond the help that the lawyers for  
17 the parties are able to provide.” *Skokomish Indian Tribe v. Goldmark*, 2013 WL 5720053, slip  
18 op. at 1 (W.D. Wash. 2013) (internal quotation omitted) (quoting *NGV Gaming, Ltd. v. Upstream*  
19 *Point Molate, LLC*, 355 F. Supp. 2d 1061, 1067 (N.D. Cal. 2005)). The Ninth Circuit has said  
20 “there is no rule that *amici* must be totally disinterested.” *Funbus Sys., Inc. v. State of Cal. Pub.*  
21 *Utils. Comm’n*, 801 F.2d 1120, 1125 (9th Cir. 1986).

22 The Court finds that the proposed *amicus* briefs would be useful to it in resolving this  
23 case. As the Court acknowledged by previously granting leave to file *amicus* briefs to Pioneer  
24 Human Services and Tenants Union of Washington (Dkt. No. 22 at 4), the issues in the  
25 underlying litigation could have ramifications beyond the current parties, making the NHLP and  
26 the Korematsu Center’s participation as *amici* appropriate. Moreover, neither party objects to the

1 NHLP and the Korematsu Center's participation as *amici*.

2 **III. CONCLUSION**

3 For the foregoing reasons, the NHLP and the Korematsu Center's motions for leave to  
4 file *amicus curiae* briefs (Dkt. Nos. 26, 28) are GRANTED. The NHLP and the Korematsu  
5 Center shall file their *amicus* briefs no later than November 23, 2018.

6 DATED this 7th day of November 2018.

7  
8   
9

10 John C. Coughenour  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26