Bautista et al v. WFS Express et al

Doc. 32

production of voluminous timekeeping and payroll data for Defendants' employees, who comprise the putative class.

- 2. Counsel for the Parties have conferred regarding the relevant factual and legal issues in this case, and whether it would be preferable to participate in early mediation before undertaking signification litigation and motions practice relating to the validity of the Plaintiffs' claims and theories. The Parties have also considered the Court's practice of encouraging litigants to explore early settlement initiatives that might shorten the duration and cost of litigation.
- 3. As result of these discussions, the Parties have agreed to mediate with Judge Steven Scott. A mediation with Judge Scott was originally set for October 23, 2019.
- 4. Prior to that mediation date, it became clear that the Parties' needed to exchange additional information and discovery before concerted settlement discussions could occur. For example, Plaintiffs seek native file spreadsheets showing manager's changes to timekeeping records during the relevant period. The Defendants have also expressed the intent to produce video evidence which they believe undermine Plaintiffs' rest break claims. Based on the need for a further exchange of information and discovery, the Parties struck the October 23, 2019 mediation date.
 - 5. A new mediation date of January 29, 2020 has been set.
- 6. The Parties believe that in the next 120 days, they can: (1) exchange the necessary discovery and information necessary to constructively participate in mediation, (2) conduct the mediation, and (3) negotiate the specific terms and details of a settlement.

1	However, the Parties also agree that if they are simultaneously attempting to comply with Court		
2	deadlines and participate in motions practice, this would reduce their ability to constructively		
3	participate in mediation.		
4	On the basis of the foregoing, the Parties request the Court enter an Order staying this		
5	action for all purposes for a period of at least 120 days so that the Parties may conduct early		
67	settlement efforts.		
8	STIPULATED TO AND SUBMITTED this 6 th day of November, 2019.		
9	BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	
10 11 12 13 14	/s/Duncan C. Turner Duncan C. Tuner, WSBA #20597 Mark A. Trivett, WSBA #46375 19929 Ballinger Way NE, Suite 200 Seattle, WA 98155 Telephone: 206-621-6566 Email: dturner@badgleymullins.com Email: mtrivett@badgleymullins.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs	/s/ Kathryn S. Rosen Kathryn S. Rosen, WSBA #29465 920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle WA 98104-1610 Telephone: 206-757-8134 Facsimile 206-757-7134 Email: katierosen@dwt.com Email: joewonderly@dwt.com Attorney for Defendant	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ABEL M. TSEGGA, PLLC /s/Abel M. Tsegga Abel M. Tsegga, WSBA No. #46349 PO Box 5246, Lynnwood, WA 98046 144 Railroad Ave., #308 Edmonds, WA 98020 Telephone: 206-697-4878 Email: abel@tglawgrp.com Attorney for Plaintiffs		

25

26

ORDER

Having reviewed the Parties' Stipulated Motion for Order Extending Temporary Stay of
Case for 120 Days, the Court grants the motion for the good cause shown within and orders that
all litigation in this action is temporarily stayed for one-hundred twenty days (120) from the
entry of this order to enable the Parties to focus on and conduct additional settlement efforts.
Within 120 days, the Parties shall file a status report with the Court describing the status of the
Parties' efforts to resolve this matter.

DATED this 13th day of November 2019.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER EXTENDING TEMPORARY STAY OF CASE FOR 120 DAYS - 4 Case No.: 2:18-ev-00757-RSM

Seattle, WA 98155
TEL 206.621.6566
FAX 206.621.9686