

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 SUNTRUST BANKS, INC.,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 BE YACHTS, LLC, et al.,

14 Defendant.

CASE NO. C18-840 MJP

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE OF  
FRCP 26(A)(2)(D)(II) DEADLINE  
TO PROVIDE REBUTTAL  
EXPERT DISCLOSURES

15  
16 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's second Motion for Continuance of  
17 FRCP 26(a)(2)(d)(ii) Deadline to Provide Rebuttal Expert Disclosures (Dkt. No. 46). Having  
18 reviewed the Motion, the Response (Dkt. No. 47), and the Reply (Dkt. No. 48), the Court  
19 DENIES Plaintiff's Motion.

20 Plaintiff has not made any showing of good cause as to why the deadline to provide  
21 rebuttal expert disclosures should be extended, except an emergency of the Plaintiff's own  
22 making. Further, Plaintiff has filed this Motion well past the disclosure deadline, which occurred  
23 on December 18, 2019. (Dkt. No. 46 at 3.) This delay is not excused by Plaintiff's previous  
24 improper ex parte Motion for a Continuance. (Dkt. No. 33.) Nor did Plaintiff meet and confer

1 with defense counsel prior to making this request, as required by Local Rule 7(j). For these  
2 reasons, Plaintiff's Motion is DENIED.

3  
4 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.

5 Dated February 11, 2020.

6 

7  
8 Marsha J. Pechman  
United States District Judge