Doc. 26

1 to hire counsel, his detention will greatly limit his ability to litigate, the issues involved are 3 complex and will require significant research and investigation, he has limited access to the law library and limited knowledge of the law, counsel will be better able to present his case at trial, and he is disabled and his "disability affects his ability to do the work involved in this case." Dkt. 5 24 at 1. Mr. Eckard also states that during his transfer to the Snohomish County Jail<sup>1</sup>, he was 6 unable to bring his legal materials and he does not have a copy of his complaint. Id., pp. 1-2. In response to Mr. Eckard's claim that he is without his legal documents, Defendants sent Mr. 8 Eckard copies of his complaint (Dkt. 6-1, 6-2<sup>2</sup>) Defendant's Answer (Dkt. 21), and the Court's 10 Scheduling Order (Dkt. 22). 11 12

his request for appointment of counsel. Both his complaint and the instant motion demonstrate his ability to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Although Mr. Eckard claims to be disabled, he has not identified the nature of his disability or explained how such disability impairs his ability to articulate his claims so the Court is not able

16

13

14

15

18

17

19

20

21

22 23

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff is currently incarcerated in the Snohomish County Jail as a pretrial detainee on criminal charges in Snohomish County. Dkt. 25, p. 1; Dkt. 20.

the question of whether defendants failed to properly respond to Mr. Eckard's emergency

to take this into consideration. Mr. Eckard's complaints regarding limited access to the law

how this places him in a position any different from other *pro se* prisoner plaintiffs.

library and limited knowledge of the law are not exceptional circumstances as he fails to show

In addition, this is not a complex case involving complex facts or law. The case involves

Mr. Eckard maintains the Court should appoint counsel for him because he cannot afford

Mr. Eckard has not demonstrated the existence of "exceptional circumstances" to support

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Re-filed at Dkt. 8.

1	requests for mental health care, denied him a pen, and denied him changes of linen and clothing
2	as required by DOC policy. Dkt. 8. Moreover, Mr. Eckard has made no attempt to demonstrate
3	that his complaint has merit.
4	Appointment of counsel is therefore not justified at this time, and the Court <b>DENIES</b> the
5	motion (Dkt. 24). The Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to plaintiff and counsel for
6	defendants.
7	DATED this 16th day of November, 2018.
8	
9	P67
10	BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA Chief United States Magistrate Judge
11	Chief Officed States Wagistrate Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
۷3	