

1 knowledge of the law, he has mental health issues and needs more time to respond to motions
2 filed by defendant. Plaintiff fails to show there are “exceptional circumstances” to appoint
3 counsel. His complaint, the instant motion, and other motions he has filed demonstrate his ability
4 to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Plaintiff’s
5 claimed limitations has not impaired his ability to articulate his claims thus far and his
6 complaints regarding limited access to the law library and limited knowledge of the law are not
7 exceptional circumstances as he fails to show how this places him in a position any different
8 from other *pro se* prisoner plaintiffs. The Court also notes that since filing this complaint
9 plaintiff has filed eight other complaints in this court. His *pro se* status is not a barrier.

10 This is not a complex case. It involves whether defendants failed to properly respond to
11 plaintiff’s request for outside yard and cleaning supplies. Dkt. 7. The Court accordingly
12 **DENIES** the motion (Dkt. 34). The Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to plaintiff and
13 counsel for defendants.

14 DATED this 14th day of March, 2019.

15
16 

17 _____
18 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
19 Chief United States Magistrate Judge
20
21
22
23