

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT SEATTLE

9 DONTE McCLELLON,

CASE NO. C18-0909-JCC

10 Plaintiff,

MINUTE ORDER

11 v.

12 CAPITAL ONE BANK, N.A.,

13 Defendant.  
14

15 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C.  
16 Coughenour, United States District Judge:

17 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. No.  
18 25) of the Court's order denying Plaintiff's motion to vacate the Court's judgment dismissing his  
19 claims (Dkt. No. 24).

20 Motions for reconsideration are generally disfavored. W.D. Wash. Local Civ. R. 7(h)(1).  
21 Reconsideration is only appropriate where there is "manifest error in the prior ruling or a  
22 showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to [the Court's]  
23 attention earlier with reasonable diligence." *Id.* "A motion for reconsideration should not be  
24 used to ask the court to rethink what the court had already thought through—rightly or  
25 wrongly." *Premier Harvest LLC v. AXIS Surplus Insurance Co.*, No. C17-0784-JCC, Dkt. No.  
26 61 at 1 (W.D. Wash. 2017) (quoting *U.S. v. Rezzonico*, 32 F. Supp. 2d 1112, 1116 (D. Ariz.

MINUTE ORDER  
C18-0909-JCC  
PAGE - 1

1 1998)).

2 Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration neither points to a manifest error in the Court's  
3 prior ruling nor provides new facts that could not have been brought to the Court's attention with  
4 reasonable diligence. Plaintiff merely provides additional information and reasons for why the  
5 Court should not have denied his prior motion to vacate. Plaintiff has not met the standard for  
6 reconsideration, and his motion (Dkt. No. 25) is DENIED.

7 DATED this 1st day of March 2019.

8 William M. McCool  
9 Clerk of Court

10 s/Tomas Hernandez  
11 Deputy Clerk