

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 STATE OF WASHINGTON, *et al.*,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

11 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
12 STATE, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

NO. C18-1115RSL

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
RELIEF FROM PRO HAC VICE
REQUIREMENTS

14 This matter comes before the Court on “Plaintiff State of Oregon’s Motion for Relief
15 from Pro Hac Vice Requirements.” Dkt. # 57. The motion is DENIED. As is the norm in this
16 district, counsel who are not admitted to practice before the Court must submit an application to
17 participate in this case pro hac vice and must be represented by local counsel. See State of
18 Washington v. Trump, C17-0141JLR. Because Senior Assistant Attorney General Scott J.
19 Kaplan is a member of the Washington State Bar Association, he need not submit a pro hac vice
20 application. He may not, however, act as local counsel for purposes of LCR 83.1(d).

21
22 Dated this 16th day of August, 2018.

23 

24 Robert S. Lasnik
25 United States District Judge

26
27
28 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF
FROM PRO HAC VICE REQUIREMENTS - 1