

1 demonstrated in the instant motion that he is likely to prevail on the merits of those claims or that
2 the balance of hardships is clearly in his favor. (*Id.* at 4.) Therefore, the R&R recommends
3 denying Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction. (*Id.*)

4 Although Plaintiff timely filed objections, he does not challenge the bulk of the R&R's
5 findings and conclusions. His sole substantive objection is that his serious mental illness, as
6 documented in an unsigned psychiatrist's report attached to his reply brief, demonstrates that
7 Plaintiff is entitled to mental health treatment. (*See* Dkt. Nos. 19 at 3–35, 22 at 2.) The Court
8 agrees with the R&R's conclusion that the psychiatrist's report addresses Plaintiff's mental
9 health condition, not what treatment Plaintiff requires. (*See* Dkt. No. 21 at 3.) And Plaintiff does
10 not object to the R&R's conclusion that he has not established a likelihood of irreparable harm,
11 that the balance of hardships tips in his favor, and that a preliminary injunction in is in the public
12 interest, as required to merit injunctive relief. (*See* Dkt. No. 22); *Winter v. Nat. Res. Def.*
13 *Council, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7, 20 (2008). Thus, Plaintiff has not demonstrated that he is entitled to
14 the extraordinary remedy of injunctive relief. *See Winter*, 555 U.S. at 20. Therefore, the Court
15 hereby ORDERS as follows:

- 16 1. Plaintiff's objections (Dkt. No. 22) are OVERRULED;
- 17 2. The report and recommendation (Dkt. No. 21) is ADOPTED;
- 18 3. Plaintiff's request for a preliminary injunction (Dkt. No. 15) is DENIED without
19 prejudice; and
- 20 4. The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff, to counsel for Defendants,
21 and to Judge Theiler.

22 DATED this 6th day of January 2020.

23
24
25
26


John C. Coughenour
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE