

1 (2007). The complaint may be dismissed if it lacks a cognizable legal theory or states insufficient
2 facts to support a cognizable legal theory. *Zixiang v. Kerry*, 710 F.3d 995, 999 (9th Cir. 2013).

3 Plaintiff's complaint purports to seek a "no contact order contain community online
4 torchor devices and softwear." (Dkt. No. 8 at 1.)¹ In support of his request, Plaintiff alleges that
5 "Sins 7-26-15 documents, images, data will show I'm [illegible] there torchoring device. I'm
6 stating look befor you call me crazy. [Illegible] softwear, [illegible], brain waves, frequency,
7 radio broadcasting electric [illegible] data, hard drive softwear + satalight otheration droin use.
8 By a crimal investigation." (*Id.* at 1–2.) Plaintiff proceeds to set forth an extensive list of
9 Defendants, including Google Inc., Firefox Mozilla, the FBI, and Walmart Headquarters. (*See id.*
10 at 2–13.) The conclusion of Plaintiff's complaint states that he is "requesting all softwear data.
11 All my e-mails data. Google Maps + locations Bluetooth links." (*Id.* at 14.) Plaintiff has attached
12 to his complaint a verification of insurance benefits and correspondence related to his child
13 support obligations, but does not explain the relevance of those documents. (*See* Dkt. No. 8-1 at
14 1–3.)

15 Plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. As a
16 threshold matter, Plaintiff has not pleaded that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over his
17 claims. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332; (*see generally* Dkt. No. 8). The complaint also does not
18 state what law entitles Plaintiff to relief or a cognizable legal theory under which he may
19 recover. *See Zixiang*, 710 F.3d at 999. And the complaint does not set forth factual matter that,
20 accepted as true, states a claim for relief that is plausible on its face. *See Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 664.
21 Thus, Plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted. *See* 28 U.S.C. §
22 1915(e)(2)(b)(ii); *Lopez*, 203 F.3d at 1129.²

24 ¹ Quotes from Plaintiff's complaint are set forth verbatim.

25 ² Plaintiff has filed several subsequent motions that appear to allege the same nucleus of
26 operative facts as the complaint but seek different forms of relief. (*See* Dkt. Nos. 9, 10, 12.)
Those documents, if considered with the complaint for the purposes of this order, similarly fail to

