Calvin v. E	lfø	et al	
-------------	-----	-------	--

RECONSIDERATION - 1

	D	oc.	20	
--	---	-----	----	--

n v. Elf	et al						
	Case 2:20-cv-00866-RSM-BAT Docu	ment 20	Filed 08/13/20	Page 1 of 2			
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6	UNITED STATES I WESTERN DISTRICT						
7	AT SEA		SHINGTON				
8	DONALD L. CALVIN,						
9	Plaintiff,	CASI	E NO. 2:20-cv-008	866-RSM-BAT			
10	v.		ER DENYING N				
11	BILL ELFO, et al.,	REC	ONSIDERATIO	N			
12	Defendant.						
13	Plaintiff moves the Court to reconsider its order denying him his request to be relieved of						
14	the requirement that all pleadings be filed electron	nically. D	kt. 13. Motions fo	r reconsideration are			
15	disfavored under the Court's local rules:						
16	Motions for reconsideration are dis						
17	such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its						
18	attention earlier with reasonable diligence.						
19	Local Rules W.D. Wash. CR 7(h)(1). A motion to reconsider is an "extraordinary remedy," and						
20	"should not be granted, absent highly unusual circumstances, unless the district court is						
21	presented with newly discovered evidence, committed clear error, or if there is an intervening						
22	change in the controlling law." Kona Enters., Inc. v. Estate of Bishop, 229 F.3d 877, 890 (9th						
23	Cir. 2000) (internal citation omitted).						
	As the Court noted in its order denying re-	lief from t	filing pleadings el	ectronically, all			
	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR						

Dockets.Justia.com

Case 2:20-cv-00866-RSM-BAT Document 20 Filed 08/13/20 Page 2 of 2

prisoners held in a Washington Department of Corrections (WDOC) facility must file pleadings
utilizing the prisoner e-filing procedures. *See* General Order 06-16. Plaintiff is held in a WDOC
facility; he therefore must file his pleadings utilizing the e-filing procedures. Plaintiff originally
contended WDOC limits the number of prisoners who can be in the law library at one time. The
Court denied relief from electronic filing because the Court continues to receive e-filed pleadings
from prisoners held in WDOC facilities, including the facility in which plaintiff is imprisoned.

In his motion to reconsider, plaintiff now contends he has no access to the law library or to the law librarian and thus cannot file anything electronically. However, the Court continues to receive electronically filed pleadings from other inmates at plaintiff's prison and it seems improbable that plaintiff is the only prisoner who cannot file things electronically.

The Court accordingly ORDERS the motion to reconsider (Dkt. 13) is DENIED. DATED this 13th day of August, 2020.

> BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA Chief United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - 2