/. Elfc	et al Case 2:20-cv-00866-RSM Docume	ent 36 Filed 03/08/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
7	AT SEATTLE	
8	DONALD L. CALVIN,	
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C20-00866-RSM
10	v.	ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR
11	BILL ELFO, et al.,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	On March 4, 2021, the Court received Plaintiff's filing entitled "Response to Defendant's	
15	Motion for Summary Judgment." Dkt. #35.	
16	As an initial matter, Plaintiff's filing is unsigned. Rule 11(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil	
17	Procedure requires that every pleading, motion, and other paper submitted to the Court be signed	
18	by the party. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a). Rule 11(a) further provides that any unsigned document must	
19	be stricken unless the omission of the signature is promptly corrected. Id. Because Plaintiff's	
20	Response is unsigned, it is not properly before the Court.	
21	Furthermore, the title and content of Plaintiff's filing indicates that he intended this	
22	document as a response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment. The noting date for	
23	Defendants' summary judgment motion expired weeks ago on February 12, 2021. See Dkt. #29.	
	ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 1	

Case 2:20-cv-00866-RSM Document 36 Filed 03/08/21 Page 2 of 2

Since then, the Honorable Brian A. Tsuchida, U.S. Magistrate Judge, entered a Report &
Recommendation ("R & R") recommending that the Court grant Defendants' motion for summary
judgment and dismiss the case with prejudice. Dkt. #34. Plaintiff's untimely response is not
properly before the Court, given that he filed it several weeks after the noting date without moving
for relief from the deadline.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth above, Plaintiff's filing dated March 4, 2021, is not properly before the Court. The Court hereby ORDERS:

(1) The Clerk shall STRIKE Plaintiff's improperly filed response, Dkt. #35.

(2) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to the parties and to Judge Tsuchida.

Dated this 8th day of March, 2021.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 2