Little v. Hayn	es		Doc. 18
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
7			
8	NICHOLAS STERLING LITTLE,		
9	Petitioner,	Case No. C20-1071-TSZ-MLP	
10	v.	ORDER	
11	RONALD HAYNES,		
12	Respondent.		
13			
14	This is a federal habeas action filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On October 19, 2020,		
15	Petitioner filed a "Motion to Proceed Ex Parte" ("Petitioner's Motion") seeking the Court to		
16	allow him to proceed "ex parte" and default Respondent in this matter because Respondent		
17	allegedly did not timely file an answer to Petitioner's habeas petition. ¹ (Dkt. # 15 at 1-2.)		
18	However, on October 14, 2020, this Court granted Respondent's Motion for an Extension of		
19	Time to File Answer (dkt. # 12) allowing Respondent until November 13, 2020, to file an		
20	answer. (Dkt. # 14.) Therefore, Respondent's time to file an answer has yet to expire.		
21			
22			
23		2020, this Court's Order granting an extension of time attil October 21, 2020, due to technical issues at the	

ORDER - 1

1	Accordingly, the Court DENIES Petitioner's "Motion to Proceed Ex Parte" (dkt. # 15).
2	The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to the parties and to the Honorable Thomas S.
3	Zilly.
4	Dated this 21st day of October, 2020.
5	Mpefison
6	MICHELLE L. PETERSON
7	United States Magistrate Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
	ORDER - 2