Case 2:20-cv-01150-MJP	Document 147	Filed 05/30/23	Page 1 of 6

	Case 2:20-cv-01150-MJP Document	147 Filed 05/30/23 Page 1 of 6							
1		The Honorable Tana Lin							
2									
3									
4									
5									
6	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT							
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE								
8									
9	CHRIS CARLSON, individually and on behalf of all persons similarly situated,	No. 2:20-cv-01150 MJP							
10	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING							
11	V.	FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND							
12	HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., a foreign	DISMISSING ACTION WITH PREJUDICE AND FINAL JUDGMENT							
13	corporation; and THE HOME DEPOT,								
14	INC., a foreign corporation,	[CLERK'S ACTION REQUIRED]							
15	5 Defendants.								
16		I							
17	This matter comes before the Court	on Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Final							
18	Approval of Class Action Settlement. The	Court has considered all papers submitted by							
19	the parties in connection with the proposed	settlement, including the instant motion, the							
20	supporting declarations of Lluvia Islas and Ada	m J. Berger, Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for							
21	Settlement Class Certification, Preliminary	Approval of Class Action Settlement, and							
22	Petition for Attorneys' Fees and Costs (Dkt. #135) ("Preliminary Approval Motion"), the								
23 24	Declaration of Adam J. Berger in support of	preliminary approval (Dkt. #136) and exhibits							
25	attached thereto, and the Declaration of Elizabe	th A. Hanley in support of final approval (Dkt.							
26		to the parties' materials, the Court held a hearing							
		to the parties materials, the Court here a hearing							
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT – 1 (Case No. 2:20-cv-01150 MJP)	SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BENDER 401 Union Street • Suite 3400 • Seattle, WA 98101 Phone (206) 622-8000 • Fax (206) 682-2305							

Case 2:20-cv-01150-MJP Document 147 Filed 05/30/23 Page 2 of 6

on May 30, 2023. The Court, having heard all persons properly appearing and requesting to be heard, having considered the papers submitted in support of the proposed Settlement and the oral presentations of counsel, having considered all applicable law, and having considered any objections made properly to the proposed Settlement, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and ORDERS as follows:

8 1. The Court's prior order of February 16, 2023 granting preliminary approval
 9 of the class action settlement (Dkt. #137) ("Preliminary Approval Order") and the parties'
 10 Settlement Agreement, including the terms defined therein and all exhibits thereto, are
 11 incorporated herein by reference.

2. The Court finds it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and the parties, including all members of the Settlement Class who have not opted out of the matter.

3. The Court approves the Settlement, finding that it is fair, reasonable, and adequate to members of the Settlement Class and consistent and in compliance with all requirements of Washington and federal law for the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Motion (Dkt. #137) and in Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval.

4. The Court finds that the Notices mailed and emailed to members of the Settlement Class at their last known addresses provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances and that the Notice was distributed in accordance with the Court's Preliminary Approval Order. The Notices given to the Settlement Class Members fully complied with Rule 23, were the best notices practicable, were reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise members of the Settlement Class of their rights with respect to

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT – 2 (Case No. 2:20-cv-01150 MJP) the settlement, and satisfied all applicable requirements of constitutional due process and any other applicable requirements under Washington and federal law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

5. No objections to the terms of the Settlement have been communicated to the Third Party Settlement Administrator, Class Counsel, or filed with the Court.

The Court finds that Plaintiff Chris Carlson and Class Counsel Schroeter
 Goldmark & Bender adequately represented the Class for purposes of entering into and
 implementing the Settlement.

9 7. The Court finds that Class Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees 10 and costs is fair and reasonable, and hereby approves the request for an attorneys' fee award 11 of 20% of the Gross Settlement Fund, or \$1,160,000, plus litigation costs of \$75,017.54. 12 The requested award is below the benchmark for percentage awards in common fund cases. 13 This case was actively litigated including through a successful motion for litigation class 14 certification. Counsel has litigated this case on a contingent-fee basis, meaning they will not 15 have been paid for almost three years of litigation. The settlement results in a substantial 16 17 recovery for the Settlement Class. See In re Omnivision Techs., Inc., 559 F. Supp. 2d 1036, 18 1046 (N.D. Cal. 2008) ("The overall result and benefit to the class from the litigation is the 19 most critical factor in granting a fee award."). Such considerations support a percentage 20 award of 20%.

- 21
- 22

23

24

8. The Court approves incentive payments from the Gross Settlement Fund in the amount of \$10,000 to the named Plaintiff and \$250 each to the 16 class members who were deposed by defense counsel for their time and service on behalf of the Settlement Class.

25 26 9. The Court approves payment in the amount of \$22,000 from the Gross Settlement Fund to ILYM Group for its fees and costs as Settlement Administrator.

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT – 3 (Case No. 2:20-cv-01150 MJP)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

10. The parties are hereby directed to proceed with the settlement payment procedures specified under the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

11. The Settlement Agreement is binding on all Settlement Class Members, as defined in the parties' Settlement Agreement. Plaintiff Chris Carlson and the Class Members are bound by the Release of Claims set forth in Paragraphs 25 through 27 of the Settlement Agreement, and are enjoined from maintaining, prosecuting, commencing, or pursuing any claim released under the Settlement Agreement, and are deemed to have released and discharged the Defendants and Released Parties from any such claims.

12. The Court reserves jurisdiction over the parties as to all matters relating to the administration, enforcement, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement, and for any other necessary purposes.

13. Neither this Final Order and Judgment nor any aspect of this settlement is to 14 be offered as evidence of, or construed or deemed as an admission of, liability, culpability, 15 negligence, or wrongdoing on the part of Defendants or their employees or agents. 16

14. The parties are authorized, without further approval from the Court, to mutually agree to and adopt any technical or process amendments or modifications to the Settlement Agreement provided such changes are: (i) consistent with this Order; (ii) consistent with the intent of the Settlement Agreement; and (iii) do not limit any substantive rights of the Settlement Class.

15. In the event the Settlement does not become effective, this Order shall be 23 24 rendered null and void and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered in 25 connection therewith shall be vacated and rendered null and void.

26

16. This case, including all individual and class claims presented thereby, is

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT – 4 (Case No. 2:20-cv-01150 MJP)

hereby DISMISSED with prejudice, with each party to bear his, her, or its own fees and costs, except as set forth herein.

17. The Court hereby enters Judgment approving the terms of the Settlement. This Order shall constitute a final judgment for purposes of Fed. R. Civ. P. 58.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 30th day of May, 2023.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Vara K.

Tana Lin United States District Judge

& BENDER

11					
12	Presented by:				
13	SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BENDER				
14	<u>s/ Adam J. Berger</u> Adam J. Berger, WSBA #20714				
15	Elizabeth Hanley, WSBA #38233				
16	401 Union Street, Suite 3400 Seattle, WA 98101				
17	Phone: (206) 622-8000				
18	berger@sgb-law.com hanley@sgb-law.com				
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class				
20	Approved as to form; approved for entry by:				
21	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.				
22	By: /s/ Laurence A. Shapero				
23	Laurence A. Shapero, WSBA #31301				
24	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 5150 Seattle, WA 98101				
25	Telephone: (206) 693-7057				
26	Facsimile: (206) 693-7058 Email: <u>laurence.shapero@ogletree.com</u>				
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT - 5 (Case No. 2:20-cv-01150 MJP) SCHROETER GOLDMARK & BEND 401 Union Street • Suite 3400 • Seattle, WA 98101 Phone (206) 622-8000 • Fax (206) 682-2305				

	Case 2:20-cv-01150-MJP Do	ocument 147	Filed 05/30/23	Page 6 of 6			
1							
2	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMO	OAK & STEW	ART, P.C.				
2	<u>By: /s/ Evan R. Moses</u>						
4	Evan R. Moses, CA Bar #198099						
5	400 South Hope Street, Suite 1200						
6	Telephone: (213) 438-5851						
 ⁶ Facsimile: (213) 239-9045 ⁷ Email: <u>evan.moses@ogletree.com</u> 							
8	Attorneys for Defendants						
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17	,						
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT – 6 (Case No. 2:20-cv-01150 MJP)	:	SCHROETER GOLE 401 Union Street • Suite 3 Phone (206) 622-8000	400 • Seattle, WA 98101			