McDonald v.	Molina Health Care Inc et al Case 2:20-cv-01189-JCC Document	18 Filed 02/17/21 Page 1 of 2	Doc. 18
	THE HONODADLE JOHN C. COUCHENOUD		
1	THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
8	AT SEATTLE		
9	MARK MCDONALD,	CASE NO. C20-1189-JCC	
10	Plaintiff,	MINUTE ORDER	
11	V.		
12	MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC., a foreign profit corporation; and MOLINA		
13	HEALTHCARE OF WASHINGTON, INC., a domestic profit corporation,		
14	Defendants.		
15	Derendants.		
16	The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C.		
17	Coughenour, United States District Judge:		
18 19	This matter comes before the Court on the stipulation filed by Plaintiff Mark McDonald		
20	and Defendant Molina Healthcare of Washington, Inc. (Dkt. No. 17). In August 2020, Plaintiff		
20	filed this employment discrimination action against Molina Healthcare of Washington, Inc. and		
22	its parent corporation, Molina Healthcare, Inc. (Dkt. Nos. 4, 14.) Defendants filed an answer in		
23	November 2020. (Dkt. No. 15.) Plaintiff and Defendant Molina Healthcare of Washington, Inc.		
24	now stipulate to the dismissal of Plaintiff's claims against Defendant Molina Healthcare, Inc. and		
25	to Plaintiff filing an amended complaint against defendant Molina Healthcare of Washington,		
26	Inc. (Dkt. No. 17.) Consistent with their stipulation, Plaintiff and Defendant Molina Healthcare		

MINUTE ORDER C20-1189-JCC PAGE - 1 of Washington, Inc. ask the Court to enter an order dismissing Plaintiff's claims against Molina Healthcare, Inc. and granting Plaintiff leave to file his proposed amended complaint. (*Id.*)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) allows a plaintiff to dismiss claims against some or all defendants by filing "a stipulation of dismissal signed by *all parties* who have appeared." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) (emphasis added); *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). Here, the stipulation of dismissal (Dkt. No. 17) does not comply with Rule 41(a) because it has been signed only on behalf of Plaintiff and one defendant notwithstanding the fact that both defendants have appeared. The Court therefore DENIES without prejudice the request to enter the proposed order of dismissal. The parties may file an amended stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties. Following the proper dismissal of Defendant Molina Healthcare, Inc., the Court will consider the stipulation of Plaintiff and Defendant Molina Healthcare of Washington, Inc. for an order granting Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint.

DATED this 17th day of February 2021.

William M. McCool Clerk of Court

<u>s/Paula McNabb</u> Deputy Clerk

1

MINUTE ORDER C20-1189-JCC PAGE - 2