

The Honorable Thomas S. Zilly

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

SETH VILLAFAN, a single man; WOLFGANG
OLSON, a single man; and JOSH GRAVES, a
married but separated man,

C20-1616 TSZ

Plaintiffs,

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
REGARDING DISCOVERY OF
ELECTRONICALLY STORED
INFORMATION**

v.

NORTHWEST MOTORSPORT, LLC, a
Washington limited liability company;
HILT VENTURE CAP INC., a Washington
limited liability company; DONALD
FLEMING and JANE DOE FLEMING,
residents of Montana, and the marital
community composed thereof;
NORTHWEST MOTORSPORT, INC., a
Washington corporation; RICHARD FORD
and JANE DOE FORD, residents of Texas,
and the marital community composed
thereof; RFJ AUTO PARTNERS NORTHERN
HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation;
JOHN and JANE DOES 1-5 and the marital
communities composed thereof; and RFJ
AUTO GROUP, INC., a foreign corporation,

Defendants.

STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY OF
ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION
AND ORDER - 1
C20-1616 TSZ

Law Offices of Eugene N. Bolin, Jr., P.S.
144 Railroad Avenue, Suite 308
Edmonds, WA 98020
Phone / 425-582-8165
eugenebolin@gmail.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I. STIPULATION

The parties hereby stipulate to the following provisions regarding the discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI") in this matter:

A. General Principles

1. An attorney's zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and contributes to the risk of sanctions.

2. The proportionality standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) must be applied in each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the application of the proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible.

B. ESI Disclosures

Within 45 days after the filing of the parties' Stipulation Regarding Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, each party shall disclose to the other:

1. Custodian(s). The custodian(s) most likely to have discoverable ESI in their possession, custody or control. The custodian(s) shall be identified by name, title, connection to the instant litigation, and the type of the information under his/her control.

2. Non-custodial Data Sources. A list of non-custodial data sources (e.g. shared drives, servers, databases, etc.), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.

1 3. Third-Party Data Sources. A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely to
2 contain discoverable ESI (e.g. third-party email and/or mobile device providers, cloud-based
3 storage, cloud-based applications, cloud-based databases, social media accounts,
4 messaging applications, etc.) and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or
5 is not) able to preserve information stored in the third-party data source.
6

7 4. Inaccessible Data. A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable
8 ESI (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to specifically
9 identify the data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Fed. R. Civ.
10 P. 26(b)(2)(B). Data sources listed below in Section C(3)(a) need not be included on this
11 list.
12

13 **C. Preservation of ESI**

14 The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation to take reasonable
15 and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession,
16 custody, or control. With respect to the preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:
17

18 1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not
19 be required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to
20 back-up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI
21 in their possession, custody or control.
22

23 2. All parties shall supplement their disclosures in accordance with Rule 26(e) with
24 discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure where that
25

1 data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under (C)(3) or (D)(I)-
2 (2) below).

3
4 3. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories
5 of ESI need not be preserved:

- 6 a. Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics.
- 7 b. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data that is
8 difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
- 9 c. On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, cookies, and
10 the like.
- 11 d. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last-
12 opened dates (see also Section (E)(5)).
- 13 e. Back-up data that are substantially duplicative of data that are more accessible
14 elsewhere.
- 15 f. Server, system or network logs.
- 16 g. Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the
17 systems in use and where there is no reasonable or proportional way to convert
18 the data to a universal format such as CSV, XLSX, TXT, SQL, XML, etc.
- 19 h. Electronic data (e.g. email, calendars, contact data, and notes) sent to or from
20 mobile devices (e.g., iPhone, iPad, Android, and Blackberry devices), provided that
21 a copy of all such electronic data is routinely saved elsewhere (such as on a server,
22 laptop, desktop computer, or "cloud" storage).
- 23 i. Text messages, instant messages, and voicemail not retained in the ordinary
24 course of business.

23 **D. Privilege**

- 24 1. Claims of Privilege:

1 a. Where a document is withheld from production pursuant to a claim of
2 attorney-client privilege or work-product protection:

3
4 (i) The attorney asserting the privilege shall identify the nature of
5 the privilege (including work product) being claimed; and

6 (ii) The following information shall be provided in a privilege log,
7 unless divulging such information would cause disclosure of the
8 allegedly privileged information:

9 (a) The type of document, e.g., letter or memorandum;

10 (b) The general subject matter of the document;

11 (c) The date of the document; and

12 (d) Such other information as is sufficient to identify the
13 document, including, where provided, the author of the
14 document, the addressees of the document, and any
15 other recipients shown in the document, with legal
16 personnel designated by an asterisk;

17 b. Privilege logs will be provided on a rolling basis, with the first log
18 provided within 45 days of the first production and the final log within 45 days of the last
19 production.

20 c. Legal personnel shall be identified as such by adding an asterisk after
21 their names in the privilege log.

22 d. For a chain of privileged emails, the Producing Party need include only
23 one entry on the privilege log for the entire email chain and need not log each email
24 contained in the chain separately. The privilege log entry for the email chain needs to
25

1 provide in the applicable privilege log fields (1) the Bates number for the entire email chain,
2 (2) the author, recipient(s), copyee(s), and subject line for the last-in-time email, and (3)
3 the privilege log entry will disclose all third parties on the string that may not be reflected in
4 the metadata, with legal personnel designated by an asterisk.
5

6 2. With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the
7 filing of the complaint, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege
8 logs. Redactions from produced documents also are not required to be logged provided the
9 reason for the redaction appears on the redaction label (*e.g.*, Privileged, Work Product).
10

11 3. Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are
12 protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).
13

14 4. When a producing party gives notice to receiving parties that certain
15 inadvertently produced material is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial
16 preparation material, the obligations of the receiving parties are those set forth in Fed. R.
17 Civ. P. 26(b)(5)(B), and its production shall not constitute a waiver of such protection in this
18 matter or any other federal or state proceeding, if: (i) such information appears on its face
19 to have been inadvertently produced or (ii) the producing party provides notice within 15
20 days of discovery by the producing party of the inadvertent production.
21

22 / / /

23 / / /

1 **E. ESI Discovery Procedures**

2 On-site inspection of electronic media. Such an inspection shall not be permitted
3 absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by
4 agreement of the parties.
5

6 1. Search methodology. The parties shall timely attempt to reach agreement on
7 appropriate search terms, or an appropriate computer-or technology-aided methodology
8 before any such effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the
9 appropriateness of the search terms or computer- or technology-aided methodology.
10

11 2. The parties will work to develop search terms. Such request shall be pursuant
12 to the terms of subparagraphs 3.c and 3.d. Part of this meet and confer process may
13 involve providing information to assess the burden and to determine appropriate edits to
14 the proposed search term list.
15

16 3. In the absence of agreement on appropriate search terms, or an appropriate
17 computer- or technology-aided methodology, the following procedures shall apply:

18 a. A producing party shall disclose the search terms or queries, if any,
19 and methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain discoverable
20 information. The parties shall meet and confer to attempt to reach an agreement on the
21 producing party's search terms and/or other methodology.
22

23 b. Upon reasonable request and if appropriate for this case, a producing
24 party shall also disclose information relating to network design, the types of databases,
25

1 database dictionaries, the access control list and security access logs and rights of
2 individuals to access the system and specific files and applications, the ESI document
3 retention policy, organizational chart for information systems personnel, or the backup
4 and systems recovery routines, including but not limited to, tape rotation and
5 destruction/overwrite policy.
6

7 c. If search terms were used to locate ESI likely to contain discoverable
8 information, a requesting party is entitled to no more than 5 additional terms or queries to
9 be used in connection with further electronic searches absent a showing of good cause
10 or agreement of the parties. The parties shall confer in good faith on the 5 additional
11 queries. The 5 additional terms or queries, if any, must be provided by the requesting party
12 within 30 days of receipt of the producing party's production.
13

14 d. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or
15 queries, such as product and company names, generally should be avoided. Absent a
16 showing of good cause, each search term or query returning more than 250 megabytes of
17 data is presumed to be overbroad, excluding Microsoft PowerPoint files, color images,
18 audio/video files, and similarly large file types.
19

20 e. The producing party shall search both non-custodian data sources and
21 ESI maintained by the custodian(s) identified above.
22

23 4. Document Format. The parties agree that ESI will be produced to the
24 requesting party with searchable text, in a format to be decided between the parties. The
25

1 parties shall meet and confer regarding reliable methods for converting the data for
2 production. Plaintiffs specifically request a load file that includes .DAT and .OPT files in
3 addition to corresponding single-paged TIFFs, extracted text and OCR. Unless otherwise
4 agreed to by the parties, files that are not easily converted to image format, such as
5 spreadsheets and media files, should be produced in native format. Documents produced
6 in native file format will be named with a Bates number and, where applicable, a
7 confidentiality designation. When possible, extracted text should be provided for any
8 documents produced in native file format. Documents should be produced with natural
9 document breaks so that it is apparent where each document begins and ends. Separate
10 documents should not be combined for purposes of production into one contained image
11 file. Documents that are locked by a password or encrypted as they are kept in the ordinary
12 course of business shall be produced in a form that is unlocked or decrypted or with
13 passwords under separate cover, provided the producing party is able to unlock or decrypt
14 the document using reasonable and proportional means.

18 5. The parties shall meet and confer regarding production format of databases
19 and other structured data sources before their production. The parties will work to identify
20 an appropriate format that will allow the requesting party to use and search the data in a
21 meaningful way, such as an already existing and reasonably available report, or an export
22 from the original database of discoverable information in a format compatible with
23 Microsoft Excel or Microsoft Access produced in native format. A producing party shall
24
25

1 neither be obligated to create and/or produce a copy of the database, nor provide another
2 party with access to the database, unless good cause is shown (after the parties meet
3 and confer) that other means of obtaining the data in a usable format are not available.
4

5 6. The parties agree that ESI will be produced to the requesting party in the form;
6 set forth in Section E(4). Productions that are smaller than five gigabytes may be produced
7 through an FTP site. Productions larger than five gigabytes will be produced on industry
8 standard media such as external hard drives or "thumb drives."
9

10 7. De-duplication. The parties will undertake reasonable efforts to de-duplicate
11 their ESI production across custodial and non-custodial data sources. To "de-duplicate"
12 across custodians means that exact duplicates of documents held and produced by a
13 particular custodian, as identified by MD5 or SHA1 hash values, but also residing with another
14 custodian will not be produced twice. The parties may de-duplicate identical e-mails using
15 MD5 or SHA1 hash technology, across custodians to reduce or suppress e-mail thread
16 duplicates. To suppress an email as a "thread duplicate," it must be wholly contained in the
17 later, surviving email, and have all the same recipients and attachments.
18

19 8. Best Efforts Compliance. The parties agree to use their best efforts to comply
20 with and resolve any differences concerning compliance with any provision/s of the
21 agreement. If a producing party cannot comply with any aspect of the Agreement, such
22 party shall inform the requesting party in writing before the time of production why
23 compliance with the Agreement is unreasonable or not possible. No party may seek relief
24
25

1 from the Court concerning compliance with the Agreement until it has met and conferred
2 with the other party pursuant to those Rules requiring a good faith effort to resolve or
3 narrow the area of disagreement.
4

5 DATED THIS 23rd day of March, 2022

DATED THIS 23rd day of March, 2022


6 **LAW OFFICES OF EUGENE N. BOLIN, JR., P.S. FORSBERG & UMLAUF, P.S.**

7 By: s/Eugene N. Bolin, Jr.
8 Eugene N. Bolin, Jr., WSBA #11450
9 Law Offices of Eugene N. Bolin, Jr., P.S.
10 144 Railroad Ave., Suite #308
11 Edmonds, WA 98020
12 Telephone: 425-582-8165
13 Fax: 888-527-2710
14 Email: eugenebolin@gmail.com

By: s/Paul S. Smith
Martin J. Pujolar, WSBA #36049
Paul S. Smith, WSBA #28099
Forsberg & Umlauf, P.S.
901 Fifth Ave., Suite 1400
Seattle, WA 98164
Tel: 206-689-8500
Fax: 206-689-8501
Email: mpujolar@foum.law
Email: psmith@foum.law

15 **I. ORDER**

16 IT IS SO ORDERED this 24th day of March, 2022.

17
18 

19
20 Thomas S. Zilly
21 United States District Judge

22
23
24
25 **STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY OF
ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION
AND ORDER - 11
C20-1616 TSZ**

**Law Offices of Eugene N. Bolin, Jr., P.S.
144 Railroad Avenue, Suite 308
Edmonds, WA 98020
Phone / 425-582-8165
eugenebolin@gmail.com**