

1 Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez
2 Honorable Michelle L. Peterson
3
4
5
6
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT SEATTLE

11 LIJUNG EDWARDS-YU,
12 v.
13 LOUIS DEJOY, POSTMASTER
14 GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES,
15 Plaintiff,
16 v.
17 Defendant.

18 Case No. C21-156-RSM-MLP

19 STIPULATED MOTION TO
20 CONTINUE SUMMARY-
21 JUDGMENT REPLY DEADLINE

22 **Noted for Consideration:**
23 **August 1, 2022**

24 Plaintiff Lijung Edwards-Yu and Defendant Louis DeJoy, Postmaster General of the
United States, jointly stipulate and move to extend Defendant's deadline to file a reply in support
of summary judgment by thirty (30) days to September 6, 2022.

A court may modify a schedule for good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). Continuing pretrial
and trial dates is within the discretion of the trial judge. *See King v. State of California*, 784 F.2d
910, 912 (9th Cir. 1986). Here, good cause exists for continuing the reply deadline. Undersigned
counsel recently joined the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington and
received this case from AUSA Pat Gugin. AUSA Gugin retired this past Friday, July 29, 2022,

25 STIPULATED MOTION TO CONTINUE
26 SUMMARY-JUDGMENT REPLY DEADLINE
27 C21-156-RSM-MLP - 1

28 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
29 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
30 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
31 206-553-7970

1 and undersigned counsel received the case and entered her appearance the same day.

2 AUSA Gugin filed a motion for summary judgment on July 11, 2022. (Dkt. 27.) Plaintiff's
3 response is due today, and Defendant's reply is due Friday, August 5. To adequately represent
4 her client, undersigned counsel requires additional time to familiarize herself with the record and
5 the nuances of the case before filing a reply in support of summary judgment. The parties have
6 conferred and have agreed to the following stipulations:

- 7 1. Defendant will be given till September 6, 2022, to file the Reply to Plaintiff's Response
8 to Motion for Summary Judgment.
- 9 2. Defendant will not be refiling a new or Amended Motion for Summary Judgment even
10 if the Court decides to extend the noting date for Defendant's Motion for Summary
11 Judgment to September 6, 2022.

12 The parties believe that there is good cause to request an extension of the reply deadline to
13 September 6, 2022, and respectfully request that the Court grant their motion. The parties do not
14 ask to continue the trial date, which is in November 2022.

15
16 SO STIPULATED.

17 DATED: August 1, 2022

*/s/ Nolan Lim**

18 NOLAN LIM, WSBA #36830
19 Nolan Lim Law Firm, PS
20 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 1850
Seattle, WA 98101
Office: (206) 774-8874
nolanlim@nolanlimlaw.com

21 *Counsel for Plaintiff*
22 *Signed by permission

23 —and—
24

STIPULATED MOTION TO CONTINUE
SUMMARY-JUDGMENT REPLY DEADLINE
C21-156-RSM-MLP - 2

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
206-553-7970

1 NICHOLAS W. BROWN
2 United States Attorney
3

4 */s/ Annalisa L. Cravens* _____
5 ANNALISA L. CRAVENS,
6 TX Bar #24092298
7 Assistant United States Attorney
8 United States Attorney's Office
9 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
10 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
11 Phone: 206-553-2257
12 Fax: 206-553-4067
13 Email: annalisa.cravens@usdoj.gov

14 *Counsel for Defendant*

15 **ORDER**

16 Defendant's reply in support of summary judgment is due **September 6, 2022**. The Clerk
17 is directed to RE-NOTE Defendant's motion for summary judgment (dkt. # 27) for this Court's
18 consideration on **September 6, 2022**.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 Dated this 1st day of August, 2022.

21 
22 _____
23 MICHELLE L. PETERSON
24 United States Magistrate Judge