

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 JAMALL S. BAKER,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 TAMMY O'REILLY, et al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C21-361 MJP

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH  
MOTION

15  
16 This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' Motion to File Overlength Brief.  
17 (Dkt. No. 244.) Defendants seek an addition 1,050 words to file a motion for reconsideration of  
18 the Court's Order on their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. Defendants justify this request  
19 on the theory that the Court "inadvertently overlooked LCR 7(g)(4), which permits the Court to  
20 order a response to a surreply motion" and therefore they should have additional space in which  
21 to seek reconsideration. (Mot. at 1.) Defendants are wrong—the Court overlooked nothing. Local  
22 Civil Rule 7(g)(4) states: "No response [to a surreply] shall be filed unless requested by the  
23 court." Here, the Court believed no response was necessary or appropriate, particularly since the  
24

1 surreply raised an issue that did not affect the outcome of the merits of Defendants' Motion for  
2 Partial Summary Judgment. Defendants are entitled to move for reconsideration, but they must  
3 do so within the word limits set forth in the Local Civil Rules. Defendants should also heed the  
4 fact that motions for reconsideration are disfavored. LCR 7(h)(1). For these reasons the Court  
5 DENIES the Motion.

6 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.

7 Dated January 28, 2025.

8 

9 Marsha J. Pechman  
10 United States Senior District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24