1		Hon. Ricardo S. Martinez
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8		
9		
10		
11	H MORGAN HICKS,	No. 2:21–cv–00436–RSM
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE
13	V.	THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD UNDER SEAL AND
14	PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA,	ORDER
15	Defendant.	NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: May 19, 2021
16	Delendant.	
17		
18	For good cause, the parties respectfully move the Court to grant their	
19	stipulated motion to allow the Administration	ive Record to be filed under seal.
20	STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD	
21	UNDER S	
22		
23	1. In light of distance and COVID-19 precautionary measures, the	
24	parties satisfied their meet and confer requirement by teleconference,	
25	conducted on May 11, 2021. The participants at this conference were: a) Jesse	
26		
	STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE THE ADMINISTRATIVEROY LAW GROUPRECORD UNDER SEAL1000 SW Broadway, #900No. 2:21-cv-00436-RSM7205TEL 503-206-4313FAX 855-344-1726www.roylawgroup.com600 SW Broadway	
	Page 1	

Cowell, Attorney for Plaintiff; and b) Julie Kamps, Attorney for Defendant (*pro hac vice*).

2. First, Plaintiff submits, and Defendant does not dispute for purposes of this case only, that a motion to seal the Administrative Record ("AR" or "Administrative Record") is appropriate in this case, because it involves a dispute over Plaintiff's eligibility for long-term disability ("LTD") benefits under an employee welfare benefit plan governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, 29 U.S.C. §1001, et seq. ("ERISA"). Plaintiff asserts that this LTD benefits case is analogous to Social-Security Appeals, in that the Administrative Record contains highly sensitive medical information and Plaintiff's privacy interests far outweigh the public interest in access to the files. Therefore, just as the public is limited to accessing files in Social-Security Appeals under FRCP 5.2(c), Plaintiff asserts that this motion to file the AR under seal is appropriate in this LTD benefits case. In the interest of efficiency, and to avoid unnecessary motion practice, Defendant agrees to filing the AR under seal in this matter. In doing so, Defendant does not waive its right to assert in any other matter, ERISA or otherwise, that the AR should not be filed under seal.

3. Second, the parties agree that a motion to seal is more economical, from both a human and financial resource perspective, than the alternative of redacting the Administrative Record. More specifically, the AR produced by Defendant contains thousands of pages and is peppered throughout with numerous references to Plaintiff's social security number and date of birth, which would plainly require considerable time and effort to redact. Further, given Plaintiff's assertion of the minimal public interest in accessing the highly sensitive medical information of Plaintiff that comprises a significant portion of the Administrative Record, the parties respectfully submit that the expense and ROY LAW GROUP STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE THE ADMINISTRATIVE 000 SW Broadway, #900 Portland, OR 97205 RECORD UNDER SEAL TEL 503-206-4313 FAX 855-344-1726 No. 2:21-cv-00436-RSM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Page 2

ww.roylawgroup.com

burden of redaction outweighs the more economical option of simply filing the AR under seal in this matter.

4. Pursuant to LCR 5(g)(3)(A), the parties certify that:

A. The conferencing details noted above are true and correct.

B. The need to file the Administrative Record under seal was discussed with particular emphasis on: 1) the sensitive nature of the medical information contained therein; and 2) undue burdens implicated by the alternative of redacting an Administrative Record numbering in the thousands of pages.

C. Attempts to minimize the amount of material filed under seal would be impractical and unduly burdensome, since the Administrative Record is principally composed of either: 1) the highly sensitive medical records of Plaintiff; or 2) discussion of such highly sensitive information in the context of LTD benefits determinations. Further, the portions that would need to be redacted if they are not filed under seal are peppered over thousands of pages throughout the entire AR.

D. Redaction appears to be the only alternative to filing under seal. Plaintiff asserts that filing under seal is the better alternative for the reasons specifically given above. For purposes of this case only, Defendant agrees to filing the Administrative Record under seal.

5. Pursuant to LCR 5(g)(3)(B), counsel provides the following declaration briefly describing discussion that:

A. Plaintiff asserts that by close analogy, FRCP 5.2(c) provides an applicable legal standard for filing the Administrative Record under seal.

25 26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

B. More specifically, just as public access is limited to the highly

sensitive medical information which permeates the files of Social-Security STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD UNDER SEAL No. 2:21-cv-00436-RSM **ROY LAW GROUP** 1000 SW Broadway, #900 Portland, OR 97205 TEL 503-206-4313 FAX 855-344-1726 www.roylwagroup.com Appeals under FRCP 5.2(c), Plaintiff asserts that the legitimate privacy interest of Plaintiff in protecting highly sensitive medical information within this LTD benefits case far outweighs any legitimate public interest in free and unfettered access, thereby warranting the relief of the Court's allowance to file the Administrative Record under seal.

C. In the interest of efficiency, and to avoid unnecessary motion practice, Defendant agrees to filing the AR under seal in this matter. In doing so, Defendant does not waive its right to assert in any other matter, ERISA or otherwise, that the AR should not be filed under seal.

D. Plaintiff asserts that his highly sensitive medical information would be unnecessarily available to public access, which could also create irreparable harm by misuse of such information by private persons and the publication of such information.

E. The less restrictive alternative of redaction is not sufficient, for the unduly burdensome reasons noted above.

In conclusion, for the reasons provided, the parties respectfully move the Court to grant the stipulated motion to file the AR under seal.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of May, 2021.

ROY LAW GROUP

<u>s/Jesse Cowell</u> Jesse Cowell, WSBA 50725 1000 S.W. Broadway, Suite 900 Portland, OR 97205 PH: 503.206.4313 jesse@roylawgroup.com Attorney for Plaintiff

STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD UNDER SEAL No. 2:21-cv-00436-RSM ROY LAW GROUP 1000 SW Broadway, #900 Portland, OR 97205 TEL 503-206-4313 FAX 855-344-1726 www.roylawgroup.com

1	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
2	s/Julie M. Kamps
3	Julie M. Kamps, IL Bar # 6286872 (appearing Pro Hac Vice) 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 8000
4	Chicago, IL 60606 PH: (312) 460-5000
5	jkamps@seyfarth.com
6	
7	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
8	s/Helen McFarland
9	Helen McFarland, WSBA 51012 999 Third Avenue
10	Suite 4700 Seattle, Washington 98104-4041
11	PH: (206) 946-4923
12	hmcfarland@seyfarth.com
13	Attorneys for Defendant
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD UNDER SEAL No. 2:21-cv-00436-RSM RECORD UNDER SEAL
	Page 5

1	ORDER		
2	ORDER		
3	Based on the Stipulated Motion to File the Administrative Record under		
4	Seal, it is ORDERED that the stipulated motion is granted for good cause		
5	shown and the parties shall now file the Administrative Record under seal.		
6	Dated this 27th day of May, 2021.		
7			
8			
9	The Honorable Ricardo S.		
10	Martinez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
11	Presented by:		
12			
13	ROY LAW GROUP		
14	By: <u>s/Jesse Cowell</u>		
15	Jesse Cowell, WSBA 50725		
16	Attorney for Plaintiff		
17	SEYFARTH SHAW, LLP		
18			
19	By: <u>s/Julie M. Kamps</u> Julie M. Kamps, IL Bar # 6286872 (appearing Pro Hac Vice)		
20			
21	By: <u>s/ Helen McFarland</u> Helen McFarland, WSBA 51012		
22	Attorneys for Defendant		
23			
24			
25			
26			
	STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE THE ADMINISTRATIVE ROY LAW GROUP RECORD UNDER SEAL 1000 SW Broadway, #900 No. 2:21 av. 00426 DSM TEL 503-206-4313 TEL 503-206-4313		
	No. 2:21–cv–00436–RSM Page 6		

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I certify that on May 19, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing with the	
3	Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system.	
4		
5	DATED this 19th day of May, 2021.	
6	<u>s/Jesse Cowell</u>	
7	Jesse Cowell, WSBA 50725 Roy Law Group	
8	1000 S.W. Broadway, Suite 900 Portland, OR 97205	
9	PH: 503.206.4313 FAX: 855.344.1726	
10	jesse@roylawgroup.com	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD UNDER SEAL No. 2:21-cv-00436-RSM Page 7 REVIEW OF CONTRACTIVE No. 2:21-cv-00436-RSM REVIEW OF CONTRACTIVE Page 7	

1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2	Based on the Stipulated Motion to File the Administrative Record under	
3	Seal, it is ORDERED that the stipulated motion is granted for good cause	
4 5	shown and the parties shall now file the Administrative Record under seal.	
6	Dated this 27th day of May, 2021.	
7		
8	12Mas	
9	The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10		
11	Presented by:	
12		
13	ROY LAW GROUP	
14	By: <u>s/ Jesse Cowell</u>	
15	Jesse Cowell, WSBA 50725	
16	Attorney for Plaintiff	
17	SEYFARTH SHAW, LLP	
18	By: <u>s/Julie M. Kamps</u> Julie M. Kamps, IL Bar # 6286872	
19	(appearing Pro Hac Vice)	
20	By: <u>s/ Helen McFarland</u> Helen McFarland, WSBA 51012	
21		
22	Attorneys for Defendant	
23		
24		
25 26		
20	STIPULATED MOTION TO FILE THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD UNDER SEAL No. 2:21-cv-00436-RSM Page 6 ROY LAW GROUP	