Ferrando et al v. Zynga Inc Case 2:22-cv-00214-RSL Document 63 Filed 12/01/22 Page 1 of 4

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	UNITED STATES I WESTERN DISTRICT	Γ OF WASHINGTON
 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	TONDA FERRANDO and DEX MARZANO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, V. ZYNGA INC., a Delaware corporation, <i>Defendant</i> .	Case No. 22-cv-214-RSL ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
25 26 27	Order Case No. 22-cv-214-RSL	EDELSON PC 350 N LaSalle Street, 14th Floor, Chicago, IL 60654 Tel: 312.589.6370 • Fax: 312.589.6378

1 THIS MATTER came before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class 2 Action Settlement. The Court has considered all papers and materials submitted by the Parties in 3 support of the proposed Settlement Agreement, including Plaintiffs' motions for preliminary and 4 final approval of the Settlement Agreement and the declarations of Class Representatives, Class 5 Counsel, and the Settlement Administrator. The Court held a Final Approval Hearing on 6 December 1, 2022, at which the Court heard argument from counsel and allowed others to 7 appear to voice their support for, or objection to, the Settlement. Based on all these materials and 8 the statements at the Final Approval Hearing, the Court issues the following Order and Final 9 Judgment: 10 1. Settlement Terms. All terms and definitions used herein have the same meanings 11 as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Jurisdiction. The Court has jurisdiction over the Parties, the subject matter of the 12 2. 13 dispute, and all Settlement Class Members. Class Certification. The Court confirms its certification for settlement purposes 14 3. of the following Settlement Class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure: 15 16 All individuals who, in Washington (as reasonably determined by billing address information, IP address information, or other information furnished by Platform 17 Providers), played the Applications on or before Preliminary Approval of the Settlement.¹ 18 19 4. **Class Notice**. The Class Notice given by the Settlement Administrator to the 20 Class was the best practicable notice under the circumstances and was reasonably calculated, 21 under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action, 22 their right to object to the Settlement or exclude themselves from the Settlement Class, and to 23 24 Excluded from the Settlement Class are (1) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this 25 Action and members of their families, (2) the Defendant, Defendant's subsidiaries, parent companies, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which the Defendant or its parent have a 26 controlling interest and their current or former officers, directors, and employees, (3) persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Settlement Class, and (4)

the legal representatives, successors or assigns of any such excluded persons.

Case 2:22-cv-00214-RSL Document 63 Filed 12/01/22 Page 3 of 4

appear at the Final Approval Hearing. The Class Notice and the means of disseminating the
 same, as prescribed by the Agreement, was appropriate and reasonable and constituted due,
 adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice. The Class Notice and the means of
 disseminating the same satisfied all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil
 Procedure, constitutional due process, and any other applicable law.

5. Settlement Approval. The Court hereby grants final approval to the Settlement and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. The Court finds that the Settlement is within the authority of the Parties and the result of extensive, arm's-length negotiations. The Parties are directed to proceed with the Settlement procedures specified under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including payment and prospective relief.

6. Objections or Exclusions from Settlement Class. Class Members were given a fair and reasonable opportunity to object to the Settlement. One class member requested to be excluded pursuant to the terms of the Settlement. No objections have been brought to the Court's attention. Aside from the one Class Member who has been excluded from the Class, this Order is binding on all Class Members and has res judicata and preclusive effect in all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings maintained by or on behalf of Class Members with respect to the Released Claims.

No Admission. Neither this Final Judgment nor the fact or substance of the
 Settlement Agreement shall be considered a concession or admission by or against Defendant or
 any other related party, nor shall they be used against Defendant or any other released party as an
 admission, waiver, or indication with respect to any claim, defense, or assertion or denial of
 wrongdoing or legal liability.

8. Dismissal with Prejudice. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, the action
(including all individual claims and class claims) is hereby dismissed with prejudice on the
merits, without costs or attorney's fees to any Party except as provided under the terms of the
Settlement Agreement, this Final Judgment, and the Court's Order Granting Class Counsel's

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2

1 Motion for Award of Attorney's Fees and Expenses and Issuance of Incentive Awards.

9. Releases. This Order incorporates the Releases set forth in the Settlement
Agreement and makes them effective as of the Effective Date. All Settlement Class Members
who have not properly sought exclusion from the Settlement Class are hereby permanently
barred and enjoined from filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, or participating (as
class members or otherwise) in any lawsuit or other action in any jurisdiction based on the
Released Claims, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

8 10. Attorneys' Fees and Expenses. Pursuant to the Court's Order Granting Class
9 Counsel's Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and Issuance of Incentive
0 Awards, the Court awards \$3,000,000 in attorneys' fees and \$22,500.00 in costs and expenses to
1 Class Counsel.

Incentive Awards. Pursuant to the Court's Order Granting Class Counsel's
 Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and Issuance of Incentive Awards, the Court awards \$5,000 to Tonda Ferrando and \$5,000 to Dex Marzano for their services as Class
 Representatives.

12. Continuing Jurisdiction. Without affecting the finality of the Final Judgment for purposes of appeal, the Court retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the Parties and all matters relating to the Settlement Agreement, including the administration, interpretation, construction, effectuation, enforcement, and consummation of the Settlement and this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 1st day of December, 2022

MAS Casnik

Robert S. Lasnik United States District Judge

ORDER CASE NO. 22-CV-214-RSL