

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

CASE NO. C22-0299-KKE

9 Plaintiff(s),

ORDER DENYING STIPULATED  
MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER

v.

10 CHEROKEE GENERAL CORPORATION,  
11 et al.,

12 Defendant(s).

13 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' submission of a stipulated protective  
14 order. Dkt. No. 45. The motion does not comply with Local Civil Rule 26(c)(2), which requires  
15 that if parties file a proposed stipulated protective order that departs from this district's model  
16 protective order, they "must provide the court with a redlined version identifying departures from  
17 the model." The parties' motion (Dkt. No. 45) is therefore DENIED without prejudice, subject to  
18 re-filing in compliance with Local Civil Rule 26(c)(2).

19 Dated this 13th day of November, 2023.

20 

21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 Kymberly K. Evanson  
23 United States District Judge  
24