

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 OKSANA B., et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 PREMERA BLUE CROSS, et al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C22-1517 MJP

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO  
SEAL

15  
16 This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' Motion to Seal the Administrative  
17 Record. (Dkt. No. 29.) Having reviewed the unopposed Motion and all supporting materials, the  
18 Court GRANTS the Motion.

19 The party seeking to keep material filed under seal must meet either the "good cause" or  
20 "compelling interest" standard. See Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC, 809 F.3d 1092,  
21 1101 (9th Cir. 2016). The "compelling interest" test applies if "the motion [related to which the  
22 materials are filed] is more than tangentially related to the merits of a case." Id. Here, the  
23 compelling interest test applies, because the parties rely on the administrative record to present  
24

1 | their summary judgment arguments and the record is “more than tangentially related to the  
2 | merits of the case.” Id. Under the “compelling interest” test, the Court must “conscientiously  
3 | balance[] the competing interests of the public and the party who seeks to keep certain judicial  
4 | records secret.” Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006)  
5 | (citation and quotation omitted). The Court may only seal records if it “base[s] its decision on a  
6 | compelling reason and articulate[s] the factual basis for its ruling, without relying on hypothesis  
7 | or conjecture.” Id. (citation and quotation omitted). “The burden is on the party requesting a  
8 | protective order to demonstrate that (1) the material in question is a trade secret or other  
9 | confidential information within the scope of Rule 26(c), and (2) disclosure would cause an  
10 | identifiable, significant harm.” Foltz v. State Farm Mutual Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1131  
11 | (9th Cir. 2003) (citation and quotation omitted). The Local Rules require the party seeking to  
12 | keep materials under seal to show: (1) “the legitimate private or public interests that warrant the  
13 | relief sought”; (2) “the injury that will result if the relief sought is not granted”; and (3) “why a  
14 | less restrictive alternative to the relief sought is not sufficient.” Local Rule 5(g)(3)(B).  
15 | “Evidentiary support from declarations must be provided where necessary.” Id.

16 |         The Court finds that compelling interests outweigh the public’s access to the  
17 | administrative record. The record contains substantial information about a minor’s school and  
18 | medical records, which are sensitive in nature, protected in part from disclosure by the Health  
19 | Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, and whose revelation would cause  
20 | significant harm to the minor. The Court notes that the public will otherwise be able to  
21 | understand the merits of this dispute through the publicly-available briefing and other materials  
22 | outside of the administrative record. The Court therefore GRANTS the Motion and ORDERS the  
23 | Clerk to keep the administrative record filed in Docket Numbers 30 and 31 UNDER SEAL.

1 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.

2 Dated November 13, 2023.

3 

4 Marsha J. Pechman  
5 United States Senior District Judge

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24