1		THE HONORABLE JAMAL N. WHITEHEAD	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	WESTERN DISTR	ES DISTRICT COURT ICT OF WASHINGTON SEATTLE	
° 9			
-	JENIFER ESHOM,	CASE NO. 2:23-cv-00028-JNW	
10	Plaintiffs,	AGREEMENT REGARDING THE DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED	
11	v. KING COUNTY,	INFORMATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER	
12	Defendant.		
13 14			
14	The parties hereby stipulate to the	following provisions regarding the discovery of	
15		his matter and in the Related Case (<i>i.e.</i> , <i>Eshom v</i> .	
17	King County, 2:24-cv-00007-JNW):	ins matter and in the Related Case (i.e., Esnom v.	
17	A. General Principles		
10 19	_	tation of a client is not compromised by conducting	
20		e of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate	
20		ry requests and responses raises litigation costs and	
21	contributes to the risk of sanctions.	ry requests and responses raises nugation costs and	
		proportionality standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P.	
23 24		en formulating a discovery plan. To further the	
24			
25 26	AGREEMENT REGARDING THE DISCOVERY OF STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORD (Case No. 2:23-cv-00028-JNW)		
26		Docket	3

application of the proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related
 responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible. This agreement is
 intended to assist the parties in identifying relevant, responsive information that has been stored
 electronically and is proportional to the needs of the case. The agreement does not supplant the
 parties' obligations to comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 34.

6

B. ESI Disclosures

7 Within 30 days of entry of this Order, or at a later time if agreed to by the parties, each
8 party shall disclose:

9 1. Custodians. The custodians most likely to have discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, connection to 10 11 the instant litigation, and the type of the information under the custodian's control. The parties 12 are expected to meet and confer to establish the appropriate number of custodians to be disclosed 13 based on the complexity, proportionality and nature of the case. Disputes should promptly be 14 submitted to the Court for resolution. This disclosure provision is distinct from the parties' 15 agreement set forth in Section C below about determining the number of custodians from whom 16 ESI should be gathered.

17 2. <u>Non-custodial Data Sources.</u> A list of non-custodial data sources (*e.g.*, shared
18 drives, servers), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.

3. <u>Third-Party Data Sources.</u> A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely to
contain discoverable ESI (*e.g.*, third-party email providers, mobile device providers, cloud
storage) and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not) able to preserve
information stored in the third-party data source.

23

4. <u>Inaccessible Data.</u> A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI
 (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to specifically identify the
 data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B).
 Section (D)(3) below sets forth data sources and ESI which are not required to be preserved by
 the parties. Those data sources and ESI do not need to be included on this list.

6

C.

ESI Discovery Procedures

7 1. <u>On-site inspection of electronic media.</u> Such an inspection shall not be required
8 absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by agreement
9 of the parties.

<u>RFP Deadline</u>. Recognizing the expense and burdens associated with the
 collection, review, and production of ESI, the parties shall confer and agree upon a deadline by
 which all requests for production seeking ESI, whether in this case or the Related Case, must be
 served. No party shall be obligated to collect, review, or produce ESI prior to that deadline, and
 the parties are encouraged to set that deadline no later than 50 days from the date of entry of this
 Order.

3. <u>Search methodology.</u> The parties shall timely confer to attempt to reach agreement
 on appropriate search terms and queries, file type and date restrictions, data sources (including
 custodians), and other appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodologies, before any such
 effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the appropriateness of the
 search methodology.

Prior to running searches:

21

a. The producing party shall disclose the data sources (including
custodians), search terms and queries, any file type and date restrictions, and any other

methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain responsive and discoverable
 information. The producing party may provide unique hit counts for each search query.

b. After disclosure, the parties will engage in a meet and confer
process regarding additional terms sought by the non-producing party.

5 The following provisions apply to search terms / queries of the c. 6 requesting party. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries, such 7 as product and company names, generally should be avoided. A conjunctive combination of 8 multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer" and "system") narrows the search and shall count as 9 a single search term. A disjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer" or "system") broadens the search, and thus each word or phrase shall count as a separate search 10 11 term unless they are variants of the same word. The producing party may identify each search 12 term or query returning overbroad results demonstrating the overbroad results and a counter 13 proposal correcting the overbroad search or query.

4. <u>Format.</u> Documents produced in these proceedings, whether originally stored in
paper or electronic form, shall be produced electronically in the forms described below.

a. All electronically stored documents or information must be produced as
single-page, Bates-enumerated, TIFF images. All image file types commonly used (e.g., JPG,
JPEG, PNG, RAW, GIF, BMP, etc.) shall be produced in color.

b. Files not easily converted to image format, such as spreadsheets,
audio/video files, InfoPath documents, schedules, and drawing files, shall be produced in native
format, with an accompanying, Bates-labeled, slip sheet image ("Placeholder"). Each file
produced in native format shall be named using the beginning Bates identifier as the file name.

23

c. All non-native (i.e., imaged) files shall be produced with searchable text.
 TIFF or JPG image productions should be produced as individual multi-page text files, one per
 record, with extracted text accompanying the production. Searchable text should not be integrated
 into any DAT load file.

5

d.

An OPTICON (.OPT) load file shall be produced.

e. Each document image file shall be named with a unique number (Bates
Number). File names should not be more than twenty characters long or contain spaces. When a
text-searchable image file is produced, the producing party must preserve the integrity of the
underlying ESI, *i.e.*, the original formatting, the metadata (as noted below) and, where applicable,
the revision history.

f. If a document is more than one page, the unitization of the document and
 any attachments and/or affixed notes shall be maintained as they existed in the original document.
 5. <u>De-duplication</u>. The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across custodial
 and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party so long as the duplicate
 custodian information removed during the de-duplication process is tracked in a duplicate/other

16 custodian field in the database load file. De-duplication will be based on the MD5 or SHA-1 Hash
17 values of native versions of documents. Only entire families that are exact duplicates will be
18 subjected to deduplication (i.e. if two exact duplicates are attached to two non-duplicate parent
19 emails, both families will be produced).

6. <u>Email Threading.</u> The parties may use analytic technology to identify email
threads and need only produce the most inclusive unique thread, together with related family
members. Lesser inclusive threads may be withheld, however, upon reasonable request, the
producing party will produce a less inclusive copy.

24

25

- 1 7. Metadata Fields. The parties agree that, to the extent these fields are reasonably 2 available, and are not privileged or protected by the attorney work-product doctrine, the following metadata fields will accompany all ESI productions, in delimited load files (DAT). The parties 3 4 further agree to produce DAT files using the following delimiters:
- 5 a) Column Delimiter: ASCII Code 020 ¶
- 6 b) Quote: ASCII Code 254 þ
- 7 c) New Line: ASCII Code 174 ®
- 8 d) Multi-value delimiter: ASCII Code 059;

9 8. The parties understand that metadata fields differ between processing platforms and that certain processing platforms cannot provide the exact fields identified below. The parties will 10 11 use their best efforts to provide all metadata identified in the metadata fields listed below. Date 12 and time metadata shall be provided in UTC, in a consistent, reasonable, and clearly delimited format, for example, M/d/y H:m. To the extent time zone information is included to provide 13 14 additional context, it shall be provided in a separate load file metadata field.

15

Production Tracking

METADATA FIELD	DESCRIPTION
BEG BATES	First Bates identifier of an item, based on page level numbering
END BATES	Last Bates identifier of an item, based on page level numbering
BEGATTACH	First Bates identifier of an attachment, based on page level
	numbering
ENDATTACH	Last Bates identifier of an attachment, based on page level
	numbering
PAGE COUNT	Number of Bates-stamped pages for each document
MD5 HASH or SHA-1 HASH	MD5 Hash or SHA-1 Hash value of document
CUSTODIAN	Name of person from whom documents were collected
ALL CUSTODIANS	For deduplicated documents, list of all custodians from which
	duplicate copy was collected
NATIVE PATH	Native path for Placeholder files (see paragraph 3.b., above)
EXTRACTED TEXT	Relative path for OCR text files

24

25	AGREEMENT REGARDING THE DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
	STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
26	(Case No. 2:23-cv-00028-JNW)

Email Metadata Fields

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

METADATA FIELD	DESCRIPTION
FROM	Email sender
ТО	Email recipient
CC	Additional recipients of email (Carbon Copy)
BCC	Additional blind recipients of email (Blind Carbon Copy)
EMAIL SUBJECT	Email subject line
DATE and TIME SENT	Date and time email sent
DATE and TIME RECEIVED	Date and time email received
EMAIL CONVERSATION	Conversation index identification
INDEX	

File Metadata Fields

9	METADATA FIELD	DESCRIPTION
9	FILE NAME	Original filename of native document
10	FILE EXTENSION	Suffix at the end of the filename indicating file type
10	FILE SIZE	File size in bytes
11	DATE and TIME CREATED	Date and time document created
	DATE and TIME LAST	Date and time date last modified
12	MODIFIED	
	DOCUMENT TITLE	Document title information
13	AUTHOR	Creator of document
	FILEPATH	Original file path or folder structure or source system or email
14		hierarchy structure
	FILE TYPE	File type of document
15	REDACTED	If this document has redactions (values: Y or N)

16 The required metadata may be changed by agreement of the parties, particularly in light

17 of advances and changes in technology, vendor, and business practices.

18 **D.** Preservation of ESI

The parties acknowledge they have a common law obligation, as expressed in Fed. R. Civ.

20 P. 37(e), to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the

21 party's possession, custody, or control. Specifically, with respect to preservation of ESI, the

22 parties agree as follows:

23

19

24
25 AGREEMENT REGARDING THE DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
26 (Case No. 2:23-cv-00028-JNW)

1 1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be 2 required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their 3 4 possession, custody, or control. 5 2. The parties will supplement their disclosures in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 6 26(e) with discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure 7 where that data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under Sections 8 (D)(3) or (E)(1)-(2). 9 3. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories of ESI need not be preserved: 10 11 a. Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics. b. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data 12 that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system. 13 On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, c. cookies, and the like. 14 Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as d. 15 last-opened dates (see also Section (E)(5)). 16 Back-up data that are duplicative of data that are more accessible e. elsewhere. 17 f. Server, system or network logs. 18 Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the g. 19 systems in use. 20 h. Electronic data (e.g., email, calendars, contact data, and notes) sent to or from mobile devices (e.g., iPhone, iPad, Android devices), provided that 21 a copy of all such electronic data is automatically saved in real time elsewhere (such as on a server, laptop, desktop computer, or "cloud" 22 storage). 23 24 25 AGREEMENT REGARDING THE DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER PAGE - 8 (Case No. 2:23-cv-00028-JNW) 26

1 E. Privilege

2 A producing party shall create a privilege log of all documents fully withheld from 1. 3 production on the basis of a privilege or protection, unless otherwise agreed or excepted by this 4 Agreement and Order. Privilege logs shall include a unique identification number for each 5 document and the basis for the claim (attorney-client privileged or work-product protection). For 6 ESI, the privilege log may be generated using available metadata, including author/recipient or 7 to/from/cc/bcc names; the subject matter or title; and date created. Should the available metadata 8 provide insufficient information for the purpose of evaluating the privilege claim asserted, the 9 producing party shall include such additional information as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Privilege logs will be produced to all other parties no later than 30 days before 10 11 the deadline for filing motions related to discovery unless an earlier deadline is agreed to by the 12 parties.

13 2. Redactions need not be logged so long as the basis for the redaction is clear on the
14 redacted document.

3. With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the filing
of the complaint, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.

Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are
protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).

19 5. Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of any documents or
20 electronically stored information (ESI), whether inadvertent or otherwise, in this proceeding shall
21 not, for the purposes of this proceeding or any other federal or state proceeding, constitute a
22 waiver by the producing party of any privilege applicable to those documents, including the
23 attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product protection, or any other privilege or protection

24

25

1	recognized by law. This Order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed
2	by Fed. R. Evid. 502(d). The provisions of Fed. R. Evid. 502(b) do not apply. Nothing contained
3	herein is intended to or shall serve to limit a party's right to conduct a review of documents, ESI
4	or information (including metadata) for relevance, responsiveness and/or segregation of
5	privileged and/or protected information before production. Information produced in discovery
6	that is protected as privileged or work product shall be immediately returned to the producing
7	party.
8	
9	DATED this 21st day of February, 2024.
10	
11	PACIFICA LAW GROUP LLP LAW OFFICE OF BRIAN FAHLING
12	By s/Zachary J. PekelisBy s/Brian FahlingZachary J. Pekelis, WSBA #44557Brian Fahling, WSBA #18894
13	s/Jacob A. Zuniga 559 Old Mill Road
14	Jacob Z. Zuniga, WSBA #48458 Telephone: (425) 802-7326
15	1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000bfahling@fahlinglaw.comSeattle, Washington 98101bfahling@fahlinglaw.com
16	Telephone: (206) 245-1700Attorney for PlaintiffZach.Pekelis@pacificalawgroup.com
17	Jacob.Zuniga@pacificalawgroup.com
18	Attorneys for Defendant King County
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	AGREEMENT REGARDING THE DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER PAGE - 10 (Case No. 2:23 or 00028 INW)
26	(Case No. 2:23-cv-00028-JNW)

1	ORDER	
2	Based on the foregoing stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3	DATED this 24th day of May 2024.	
4	Janel W	
5	Jamal N. Whitehead United States District Judge	
6	Office States District Judge	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
22		
23		
25	AGREEMENT REGARDING THE DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY	
26	STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER PAGE - 11 (Case No. 2:23-cv-00028-JNW)	
~		