

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

MICHAEL WRIGHT and ALEXIS  
WRIGHT, a married couple,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY  
COMPANY, a foreign insurer,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-179

ORDER

On March 26, 2024, the Court heard oral argument on Plaintiffs Michael and Alexis Wright’s amended motion to compel discovery, and the parties’ stipulated motions for a protective order and to continue the discovery cutoff. Dkt Nos. 26, 30, 39.

The Court considered the parties’ briefing and argument and GRANTED the parties’ Stipulated Motion to Continue Discovery. Dkt. No. 39. The Court DENIED the parties’ Stipulated Motion For Protective Order, without prejudice. Dkt. No. 30. The Court also DENIED Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel, without prejudice. Dkt. No. 26. The Court directs the parties to meet and confer about the subjects raised in

1 Plaintiffs' motion to try to resolve their differences. If Court intervention is  
2 necessary, the parties must seek relief using the Court's Expedited Joint Motion  
3 Procedure under LCR 37(a)(2).

4 It is so ORDERED.

5 Dated this 26th day of March, 2024.

6 

7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 Jamal N. Whitehead  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23