

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 AMAZON.COM INC, *et al.*,

9 Plaintiffs,

Case No. C23-679-MJP-MLP

10 v.

MINUTE ORDER

11 AALITOOD, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

13
14 The following Minute Order is made at the direction of the Court, the Hon. Michelle L.
15 Peterson, United States Magistrate Judge:

16 To obtain a court order for alternative service under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(3), a plaintiff
17 must “demonstrate that the facts and circumstances of the present case necessitated the district
18 court’s intervention.” *Rio Props., Inc. v. Rio Int’l Interlink*, 284 F.3d 1007, 1016 (9th Cir. 2002).
19 Here, Plaintiffs indicate third-party discovery disclosed “potential physical addresses for
20 Defendants in China” but “Plaintiffs’ investigators were not able to confirm that Defendants
21 lived at the addresses . . . , nor that those addresses were related to counterfeiting activity.”
22 (Rainwater Decl. (dkt. # 21) at ¶ 5.) Plaintiffs do not explain any steps they took to confirm
23 Defendants lived at or were otherwise associated with the addresses. Plaintiffs have provided no

1 information to conclude the addresses are not associated with Defendants. The Court is thus
2 unable to determine at this point whether circumstances necessitate the Court's intervention.
3 Accordingly, the Court ORDERS Plaintiffs to file a supplemental declaration with the necessary
4 information by **June 11, 2024**.

5 Dated this 4th day of June, 2024.

6 Ravi Subramanian
7 Clerk of Court

8 By: Tim Farrell
9 Deputy Clerk