1		THE HONORABLE JAMAL N. WHITEHEAD
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		DISTRICT COURT
9		TRICT OF WASHINGTON ATTLE
10		No. 2:23-CV-1372-JNW
11	IN RE: AMAZON RETURN POLICY	AGREEMENT REGARDING
12	LITIGATION	DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND
13		[PROPOSED] ORDER
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ESI AND [Proposed] Order CASE NO. 2:23-CV-1372-JNW	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP 1109 FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 210 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101 Tel: (206) 905-7000 Dockets.

Dockets.Justia.com

The parties hereby stipulate to the following provisions regarding the discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI") in this matter:

3 **A**.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

General Principles

1. An attorney's zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and contributes to the risk of sanctions.

2. As provided in LCR 26(f), the proportionality standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 9 26(b)(1) must be applied in each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the 10 application of the proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and 11 12 related responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible. This 13 agreement is intended to assist the parties in identifying relevant, responsive information that has 14 been stored electronically and is proportional to the needs of the case. The agreement does not 15 supplant the parties' obligations to comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 34. 16

B. ESI

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ESI Disclosures

Within the deadline set by the Court in connection with the Parties' Joint Status Report, each party shall disclose:

1. <u>Custodians.</u> The custodians most likely to have discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, business unit, connection to the instant litigation, and the type of the information under the custodian's control.

2. <u>Non-custodial Data Sources.</u> A list of non-custodial data sources (*e.g.*, shared drives, servers), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.

3. <u>Third-Party Data Sources.</u> A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely to contain
discoverable ESI (*e.g.*, third-party email providers, mobile device providers, cloud storage) and,
for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not) able to preserve information stored
in the third-party data source.

		QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP
AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ESI AND		1109 FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 210
[Proposed] Order		SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101
CASE NO. 2:23-CV-1372-JNW	1	Tel: (206) 905-7000

4. <u>Inaccessible Data.</u> A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI
 (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to specifically identify the
 data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B).

5. Foreign data privacy laws. Nothing in this Order is intended to prevent either party
from complying with the requirements of a foreign country's data privacy laws, *e.g.*, the European
Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (EU) 2016/679. The parties agree to meet
and confer before including custodians or data sources subject to such laws in any ESI or other
discovery request.

9

C.

ESI Discovery Procedures

a.

10 1. <u>On-site inspection of electronic media</u>. Such an inspection shall not be required
 11 absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by agreement
 12 of the parties.

2. <u>Search methodology</u>. The parties shall timely confer to attempt to reach agreement
on appropriate search terms and queries, file type and date restrictions, data sources (including
custodians), and other appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodologies, before any such
effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the appropriateness of the
search methodology.

18

Prior to running searches:

i. The producing party shall disclose the data sources (including
custodians), search terms and queries, any file type and date restrictions, and any other
methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain responsive and discoverable
information. The producing party may provide unique hit counts for each search query.

23

24

ii. After disclosure, the parties will engage in a meet and confer process regarding additional terms sought by the non-producing party.

25 iii. The following provisions apply to search terms / queries of the
26 requesting party. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries, such as
27 product and company names, generally should be avoided. A conjunctive combination of multiple

28			QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP
	AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ESI AND		1109 FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 210
	[Proposed] Order		SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101
	CASE NO. 2:23-CV-1372-JNW	2	Tel: (206) 905-7000

words or phrases (*e.g.*, "computer" and "system") narrows the search and shall count as a single
search term. A disjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (*e.g.*, "computer" or
"system") broadens the search, and thus each word or phrase shall count as a separate search term
unless they are variants of the same word. The producing party may identify each search term or
query returning overbroad results demonstrating the overbroad results and a counter proposal
correcting the overbroad search or query.

7

3. Format.

a. Unless otherwise agreed upon by the parties, the parties shall produce their
information in the following format: single-page Group IV TIFF images, associated document
level text files containing extracted text, and with appropriate software load files containing all
information required by the litigation support system used by the receiving party.

b. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, files that are not easily converted
to image format, such as spreadsheet, audio, video, and drawing files, will be produced in native
format.

c. Each document image file shall be named with a unique number (Bates Number). When a text-searchable image file is produced, the producing party must preserve the integrity of the underlying ESI, *i.e.*, the original formatting, the metadata (as noted below) and, where applicable, the revision history.

19d.If a document is more than one page, the unitization of the document and20any attachments and/or affixed notes shall be maintained as they existed in the original document.

e. The full text of each electronic document shall be extracted ("Extracted
Text") and produced in a text file. The Extracted Text shall be provided in searchable ASCII text
format (or Unicode text format if the text is in a foreign language) and shall be named with a
unique Bates Number (*e.g.*, the unique Bates Number of the first page of the corresponding
production version of the document followed by its file extension).

264.De-duplication. The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across custodial27and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party, and the duplicate custodian

28			QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP
	AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ESI AND		1109 First Avenue, Suite 210
	[Proposed] Order		SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101
	CASE NO. 2:23-CV-1372-JNW	3	Tel: (206) 905-7000

information removed during the de-duplication process tracked in a duplicate/other custodian field
 in the database load file.

5. <u>Email Threading</u>. The parties may use analytics technology to identify email
threads and need only produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members and
may exclude lesser inclusive copies. Upon reasonable request, the producing party will produce
a less inclusive copy.

7 6. Metadata fields. If the requesting party seeks metadata, the parties agree that only 8 the following metadata fields need be produced, and only to the extent it is reasonably accessible 9 and non-privileged: document type; custodian and duplicate custodians (or storage location if no custodian); author/from; recipient/to, cc and bcc; title/subject; email subject; file name; file size; 10 11 file extension; original file path; date and time created, sent, modified and/or received; and hash 12 value. The list of metadata type is intended to be flexible and may be changed by agreement of 13 the parties, particularly in light of advances and changes in technology, vendor, and business practices. 14

15

D. Preservation of ESI

The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation, as expressed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(e), to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession, custody, or control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be
 required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up and
 archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their
 possession, custody, or control.

24 2. The parties will supplement their disclosures in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P.
25 26(e) with discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure
26 where that data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under Sections
27 (D)(3) or (E)(1)-(2)).

4

28

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ESI AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 2:23-CV-1372-JNW

1	3. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories		
2	of ESI need n	not be pr	eserved:
3		a.	Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics.
4 5		b.	Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
6		c.	On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, cookies, and the like.
7 8		d.	Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last-opened dates (see also Section $(E)(5)$).
9		e.	Back-up data that are duplicative of data that are more accessible elsewhere.
10		f.	Server, system or network logs.
11			
12		g.	Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the systems in use.
13		h.	Electronic data (e.g., email, calendars, contact data, and notes) sent to or
14	from mobile devices (e.g., iPhone, iPad, Android devices), provided the		from mobile devices (<i>e.g.</i> , iPhone, iPad, Android devices), provided that a copy of all such electronic data is automatically saved in real time
15			elsewhere (such as on a server, laptop, desktop computer, or "cloud" storage).
16		i.	Defendant's text messages, mobile devices, personal home computers, and
17 18		1.	personal email accounts. Defendant will not be required to search custodians' personal messages (i.e., text messages) and personal email if
19			Defendant stipulates that it has confirmed after reasonable investigation that those systems do not contain unique documents relevant to the subject
20			matter of the Complaint and Defendant's defenses.
21	E. Privil	lege	
22	1.	A proc	lucing party shall create a privilege log of all documents fully withheld from
23	production on the basis of a privilege or protection, unless otherwise agreed or excepted by this		
24	Agreement and Order. Privilege logs shall include a unique identification number for each		
25	document and the basis for the claim (attorney-client privileged or work-product protection). For		
26	ESI, the privilege log may be generated using available metadata, including author/recipient or		
27	to/from/cc/bcc names; the subject matter or title; and date created. Should the available metadata		
28			OUINN EMANUEL UROUHART & SULLIVAN LLP

I			QUINN EMANUEL
	AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ESI AND		1109 Firs
	[Proposed] Order		SEATTLE
	CASE NO. 2:23-CV-1372-JNW	5	Tel

provide insufficient information for the purpose of evaluating the privilege claim asserted, the
 producing party shall include such additional information as required by the Federal Rules of Civil
 Procedure. Privilege logs will be produced to all other parties no later than 30 days after delivering
 a production unless an earlier deadline is agreed to by the parties.

5 2. Redactions need not be logged so long as the basis for the redaction is clear on the
6 redacted document.

7 3. With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the filing
8 of the original complaint, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.

9 4. Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are
10 protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).

11 5. Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of any documents, electronically 12 stored information (ESI) or information, whether inadvertent or otherwise, in this proceeding shall 13 not, for the purposes of this proceeding or any other federal or state proceeding, constitute a waiver 14 by the producing party of any privilege applicable to those documents, including the attorney-15 client privilege, attorney work-product protection, or any other privilege or protection recognized 16 by law. This Order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed by Fed. R. Evid. 502(d). The provisions of Fed. R. Evid. 502(b) do not apply. Nothing contained herein is 17 18 intended to or shall serve to limit a party's right to conduct a review of documents, ESI or information (including metadata) for relevance, responsiveness and/or segregation of privileged and/or protected information before production. Information produced in discovery that is protected as privileged or work product shall be immediately returned to the producing party.

6

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ESI AND [Proposed] Order Case No. 2:23-CV-1372-JNW

1	Dated: September 9, 2024.	Respectfully submitted,
2		By: <u>/s/ Alicia Cobb</u>
3		Alicia Cobb, WSBA #48685
		Matthew Hosen, WSBA #54855 QUINN EMANUEL
4		URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
5		1109 First Avenue, Suite 210
6		Seattle, WA 98101 Tel.: 206.905.7000
7		Fax: 206.905.7100
		aliciacobb@quinnemanuel.com
8		matthosen@quinnemanuel.com
9		Nolan K. Anderson, WSBA #59691
10		QUINN EMANUEL
10		URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
11		New York, NY 10010
12		Tel.: 212.849.7000
13		nolananderson@quinnemanuel.com
		Andrew H. Schapiro (pro hac vice)
14		QUINN EMANUEL
15		URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
16		Chicago, IL 60606
		Tel: 312.705.7400
17		Fax: 312.705.7401 andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
18		and ewschapho@quimemander.com
19		Justin C. Griffin (pro hac vice)
		Adam B. Wolfson (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
20		Joshua Courtney (<i>pro hac vice</i>) QUINN EMANUEL
21		URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
22		865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor
		Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: 213.443.3000
23		Fax: 213.443.3100
24		justingriffin@quinnemanuel.com
25		adamwolfson@quinnemanuel.com joshuacourtney@quinnemanuel.com
		Joshuuoournioj @quimemunuonoom
26		Aaron M. Zigler (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
27		Mary Jane Fait (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Nidya S. Gutierrez (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
28		ZIGLER LAW GROUP, LLC
	AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ESI AND	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP
	[PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 2:23-CV-1372-JNW	1109 FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 210 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101
	CASE INU. 2.25-C V - 15 / 2-JIN W	Tel: (206) 905-7000

1 2 3		308 S. Jefferson Street Suite 333 Chicago, IL 60661 Tel: 312.673.8427 aaron@ziglerlawgroup.com maryjane@ziglerlawgroup.com nidya@ziglerlawgroup.com
4		
5		Interim Class Counsel
6		By: <u>/s/ Brian D. Buckley</u> Brian D. Buckley, WSBA #26423
7 8		Deena J.G. Feit, WSBA # 59036 FENWICK & WEST LLP
9		401 Union Street, 5th Floor Seattle, WA 98101
9 10		Telephone: 206.389.4510 Facsimile: 206.389.4511
11		Email: bbuckley@fenwick.com dfeit@fenwick.com
12		dien@ienwick.com
13		Jedediah Wakefield (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Mary Griffin Sims (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
		Samantha Ong (pro hac vice)
14		FENWICK & WEST LLP 555 California Street, 12th Floor
15		San Francisco, CA 94104
16		Telephone: 415.875.2300 Facsimile: 415.281.1350
17		Email: jwakefield@fenwick.com
18		mgriffin@fenwick.com song@fenwick.com
19		
20		Attorneys for Defendant Amazon.com, Inc.
	ORI	DER
21	Based on the foregoing, IT IS SO ORDI	ERED.
22 23		
	DATED: September 9, 2024	fame lo
24		The Honorable Jamal N. Whitehead
25		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26		
27		
28		
	AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ESI AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 2:23-CV-1372-JNW	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP 1109 FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 210 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101 Tel: (206) 905-7000