

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 SECOND AMENDMENT
FOUNDATION, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 ROBERT FERGUSON, et al.,

14 Defendants.
15

CASE NO. C23-1554 MJP

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH
BRIEF

16
17 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Overlength
18 Brief. (Dkt. No. 12.) Having reviewed the Motion and all supporting materials, the Court
19 DENIES the Motion. Plaintiffs ask for leave to file a response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss
20 that is one-and-a-half times the allowed length on the theory that they must "present the detailed
21 factual background" from their Complaint and "discuss the 'specific factual allegations'" they
22 claim Defendants overlooked. (Mot. at 2.) The Court finds little merit in this argument. In
23 analyzing the Motion to Dismiss, the Court will review Plaintiffs' Complaint in great detail.
24 Providing additional space for Plaintiffs to repeat or summarize the Complaint's allegations is

1 unnecessary. And any discussion of the Complaint’s specific factual allegations is best presented
2 in the “legal analysis that responds to State Defendants’ arguments.” (Id.) Lastly, the Court is not
3 convinced that Plaintiffs’ discussion about the Court’s jurisdiction necessarily requires additional
4 briefing space. The Court therefore DENIES the Motion in the absence of good cause.

5 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.

6 Dated November 13, 2023.

7 

8 Marsha J. Pechman
9 United States Senior District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24