

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 SECOND AMENDMENT  
FOUNDATION, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 ROBERT FERGUSON, et al.,

14 Defendants.  
15

CASE NO. C23-1554 MJP

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH  
BRIEF

16  
17 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Overlength  
18 Brief. (Dkt. No. 12.) Having reviewed the Motion and all supporting materials, the Court  
19 DENIES the Motion. Plaintiffs ask for leave to file a response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss  
20 that is one-and-a-half times the allowed length on the theory that they must "present the detailed  
21 factual background" from their Complaint and "discuss the 'specific factual allegations'" they  
22 claim Defendants overlooked. (Mot. at 2.) The Court finds little merit in this argument. In  
23 analyzing the Motion to Dismiss, the Court will review Plaintiffs' Complaint in great detail.  
24 Providing additional space for Plaintiffs to repeat or summarize the Complaint's allegations is

1 unnecessary. And any discussion of the Complaint's specific factual allegations is best presented  
2 in the "legal analysis that responds to State Defendants' arguments." (Id.) Lastly, the Court is not  
3 convinced that Plaintiffs' discussion about the Court's jurisdiction necessarily requires additional  
4 briefing space. The Court therefore DENIES the Motion in the absence of good cause.

5 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.

6 Dated November 13, 2023.

7 

8 Marsha J. Pechman  
9 United States Senior District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24