

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

AMANDA JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

NORDSTROM et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-01813-LK

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
SERVICE

16 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Amanda Johnson’s “Motion the Court to
17 Service the Defendant[s].” Dkt. No. 24. Her one sentence motion states that she is “motioning the
18 court to serve all of the defendant[s].” *Id.* at 1. The Court construes the motion as a request that
19 the Court order the U.S. Marshals to serve her amended complaint.

20 Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(c)(3), “[a]t the plaintiff’s request, the court may
21 order that service be made by a United States marshal or deputy marshal or by a person specially
22 appointed by the court.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3). But the court is not obligated to do so unless the
23 plaintiff is “authorized to proceed in forma pauperis under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 or as a seaman under
24 28 U.S.C. § 1916.” *Id.* Ms. Johnson has paid the filing fee and is not proceeding in forma pauperis

