Burrus v. Ja	c	kson	

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON				
9	AT TACOMA				
10	CHRISTOPHER SEAN BURRUS,				
11	Petitioner,	CASE NO. 2:23-cv-01968-BHS-GJL			
12		ORDER ON MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME			
13	ROBERT JACKSON,				
14	Respondent.				
15	This federal habeas action filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 has been referred to United				
16	States Magistrate Judge Grady J. Leupold. Before the Court is Respondent's Motion for				
17	Extension of Time to File an Answer to the Petition. <sup>1</sup> Dkt. 14. Upon review, the Court finds				
18	Respondent has shown good cause for an extension and <b>GRANTS</b> the Motion.				
19	The new deadline for Respondent to file an Answer is <b>June 10, 2024</b> . Petitioner may file				
20	a response to the Answer on or before <b>July 2, 2024</b> , and Respondent may file a reply not later				
21	than July 9, 2024, which is the noting date designated for consideration of the Answer.				
22					
23	<sup>1</sup> Because principles of judicial economy and fairness favor an expeditious resolution to this matter, the Court				
24	considers the instant Motion before the noting date.				

Petitioner's Motion to Appoint Counsel (Dkt. 15), the Court sua sponte EXTENDS the response deadline for that Motion until June 3, 2024; Petitioner may file a reply in support of his Motion to Appoint Counsel not later than June 10, 2024. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to **RENOTE** the Motion for consideration on June 10, 2024. Dated this 9th day of May, 2024. Grady J. Leupold United States Magistrate Judge ORDER ON MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - 2

In addition, to ensure Respondent has sufficient time to respond to the arguments made in