

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 RAJ K. PATEL,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 MICROSOFT CORPORATION ,

12 Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:24-cv-00130-JHC

ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO EXPEDITE AND LIMITING
FILINGS

13
14 This matter comes before the Court on pro se Plaintiff's "Motion to Expedite." Dkt. #
15 31. The Court STRIKES the motion because it is not in compliance with the Federal Rules of
16 Civil Procedure or the Local Civil Rules.

17 It should be noted that, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1), Plaintiff may
18 amend his complaint "once as a matter of course." "In all other cases, a party may amend its
19 pleading only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave." Fed. R. Civ. P.
20 15(a)(2). The Plaintiff has filed four amended complaints, Dkts. # 25, 26, 27, 32, since the Court
21 dismissed Plaintiff's first complaint with leave to amend as to certain issues, Dkt. # 21.
22
23
24

