1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 CHARLES ELLIS TALLEY, CASE NO. C24-0355-KKE 8 Plaintiff, ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS 9 v. 10 UNITED STATES VETERANS AFFAIRS, 11 Defendant. 12 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for default and for default 13 judgment (Dkt. Nos. 12, 13) and Defendant's motion to dismiss and strike (Dkt. No. 15). Based 14 on the parties' submissions, they appear to agree on the appropriate disposition for each motion. 15 First, Defendant moves to strike Plaintiff's pending motions for default and default 16 judgment because Defendant was not properly served. Dkt. No. 15. Plaintiff did not oppose this 17 request (Dkt. No. 17) and his proposed order filed in response to the motion to dismiss asked the 18 Court to strike the pending motions (Dkt. No. 17-1). Accordingly, the Court STRIKES Plaintiff's 19 pending default motions. Dkt. Nos. 12, 13. 20 Second, Defendant moved to dismiss the complaint due to Plaintiff's failure to properly 21 serve the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington. Dkt. No. 15. 22 23 ¹ In a nearly identical case against the United States Department of Veteran's Affairs, Plaintiff withdrew his motions for default. Talley v. United States Dep't of Veteran's Affs., No. 2:24-cv-01157-KKE, Dkt. No. 15 (W.D. Wash. 24 filed July 31, 2024). ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS - 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

Plaintiff responded and admitted to this failure but requested the opportunity to the correct the mistake. Dkt. No. 17. Plaintiff then filed proof of service on the proper United States Attorney's Office. Dkt. No. 19. In reply, Defendant agreed that Plaintiff corrected the deficient service but reiterated the need to strike the pending default motions. Dkt. No. 20. As these motions have now been stricken, the Court DENIES as MOOT Defendant's motion to dismiss. Dkt. No. 15.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's motions for default (Dkt. Nos. 12, 13) are STRICKEN by agreement and Defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 15) is DENIED as MOOT.

The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address.

Dated this 7th day of January, 2025.

Kymberly K. Evanson United States District Judge

Hymberly X Eanson