1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 CASE NO. C24-1734JLR LANCE P. MCDERMOTT, 10 **ORDER** Plaintiff, 11 v. 12 **UNITED STATES POSTAL** 13 SERVICE, 14 Defendant. 15 Before the court is Plaintiff Lance P. McDermott's motion for reconsideration of 16 the court's January 16, 2025 minute order directing the Clerk to amend the caption of this 17 matter to reflect that the United States Postal Service, rather than the Merit Systems 18 Protection Board ("MSPB"), is the proper Defendant in this matter. (MFR (Dkt. # 20); 19 see 1/16/25 Min. Order (Dkt. # 19).) Mr. McDermott asserts that the cases now before 20 the court are "non-mixed cases" that do not involve allegations of disability 21 discrimination and that, as a result, the MSPB is still the proper Defendant and that this 22

court's jurisdiction is still in question over his appeals. (*See generally* MFR.) The Federal Circuit Court of Appeals, however, has determined that this matter is a "mixed case" under 5 U.S.C. § 7702 and that jurisdiction is proper in this court. (*See* Fed. Cir. Transfer Order (Dkt. # 1) at 2 ("We transfer this case because Mr. McDermott pursues his discrimination claims and federal district courts, not this court, have jurisdiction over so-called 'mixed cases[.]"").)

"Motions for reconsideration are disfavored" and the "court will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence." Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h). Because the second prong of this test does not apply to the court's *sua sponte* amendment of the caption, Mr. McDermott must show manifest error in the court's January 16 order. This he has failed to do. Therefore, the court DENIES Mr. McDermott's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 20).

Dated this 27th day of January, 2025.

JAMÉS L. ROBART United States District Judge

~ R. Rl