

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 RAJU A.T. DAHLSTROM,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 JAY ROBERT INSLEE, STATE OF
12 WASHINGTON, WASHINGTON
13 STATE PATROL, CHRISTOPHER
14 JOHN DAIGLE, CASEY K. COREY,
15 JEFFERSON COUNTY, MICHAEL E.
16 HAAS, SCOTT W. ROSEKRANS,
17 WALTER H. PERRY, THOMAS A.
18 BROTHERTON, JAMES MITCHELL
19 KENNEDY, JILL LANDES, KEITH
20 CHANDLER HARPER,

21 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:25-cv-262-JNW

ORDER


22 The Court raises this matter on its own accord. On February 18, 2025,
23 Defendants United States of America, Department of Homeland Security (DHS),
United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), United States
Customs and Border Protection (CPB), United States Citizenship and Immigration
Services (USCIS), Jay Robert Inslee, State of Washington, Washington State Patrol,

1 Christopher John Daigle, and Casey K. Corey moved to dismiss Plaintiff Raju A.T.
2 Dahlstrom's complaint. Dkt. Nos. 10, 11.

3 On March 3, 2025, Dahlstrom filed an Amended Complaint. Dkt. No. 25.
4 Because Dahlstrom filed less than 21 days after Defendants moved to dismiss, he
5 did not need to obtain leave from the Court or Defendants to amend his complaint.
6 Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B) ("A party may amend its pleading once as a matter of
7 course no later than . . . 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b)[.]").
8 Generally, "an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint and renders it
9 without legal effect." *Lacey v. Maricopa Cnty.*, 693 F.3d 896, 927 (9th Cir. 2012).
10 "Courts often apply this rule to motions to dismiss a complaint that has since been
11 superseded and deny such motions as moot." *Dahlstrom v. Life Care Centers of Am.*,
12 *Inc.*, No. 2:21-CV-01465-JHC, 2022 WL 7631419, at *1 (W.D. Wash. Oct. 13, 2022)
13 (quoting *Bisson v. Bank of Am., N.A.*, No. C12-0995-JLR, 2012 WL 5866309, at *1
14 (W.D. Wash. Nov. 16, 2012)). Dahlstrom's Amended Complaint supersedes the
15 original complaint and is now the operative complaint here.

16 Accordingly, the Court STRIKES as moot the pending motions to dismiss,
17 Dkt. Nos. 10, 11. Defendants United States of America, DHS, ICE, CBP, and USCIS
18 are no longer party to this action subject to Dahlstrom's voluntary notices of
19 dismissal. Dkt. No. 20–24. Remaining Defendants Inslee, State of Washington,
20 Washington State Patrol, Christopher John Daigle, and Casey K. Corey may refile
21 their motion to dismiss, but only if it is directed at the Amended Complaint.
22
23

Dated this 10th day of March, 2025.


Jamal N. Whitehead
United States District Judge